

```

1 .
2 .
3 .
4 .
5 .
6 . *----- Female Energy Level crhtw model -----
7 . /*
>     EQ(12) Modelling WHPel by OLS-CS
>     The dataset is: /Users/robertyaffee/Documents/data/research/chwk/phas
> e3/
>     data/ox/workingdatasets/MARS/gals.dta
>     The estimation sample is: 1 - 340
>     Dropped 2 observation(s) with missing values from the sample
>
>             Coefficient Std.Error      HACSE   t-HACSE   t-prob Part.R^
> 2
> BSIglobsi          28.5985    3.949     3.857    7.42    0.0000   0.146
> 6
> BSIips            -1.33902   0.7460    0.6556   -2.04    0.0419   0.012
> 9
> emplw25           23.1581    5.522     5.261    4.40    0.0000   0.057
> 1
> emplw33           28.9798    25.30     2.900    9.99    0.0000   0.237
> 8
> occ2w1            10.8977   4.690     4.590    2.37    0.0182   0.017
> 3
> inc3w2            -9.02795   3.282     3.210   -2.81    0.0052   0.024
> 1
> deaw2              4.47647   1.784     2.234    2.00    0.0459   0.012
> 4
> accdw3            -6.72365   4.353     3.188   -2.11    0.0357   0.013
> 7
> shhlw2            0.0867197  0.03800   0.03520   2.46    0.0143   0.018
> 6
> phlthw2            -0.436809  0.08038   0.06958   -6.28    0.0000   0.109
> 7
> mhlthw1            0.198210  0.07891   0.06954    2.85    0.0046   0.024
> 8
> physdisagw3        0.374375  0.1103     0.1043    3.59    0.0004   0.038
> 7
> PTSDw2            -0.533917  0.1833     0.1439   -3.71    0.0002   0.041
> 2
> airw1              0.0924607  0.05055   0.04664    1.98    0.0483   0.012
> 1
> polprw2            -0.167809  0.04557   0.04104   -4.09    0.0001   0.049
> 7
> radhw1             U       -0.0204423  0.06275   0.05732   -0.357   0.7216   0.0004
> radhw2             U       -0.151482   0.1335    0.1294   -1.17    0.2426   0.0043
> radhw3             U       0.209236   0.1122    0.1116    1.88    0.0616   0.0109

```

```

>
> sigma              25.0654  RSS                  201046.978
> log-likelihood     -1559.22
> no. of observations      338  no. of parameters       18
> mean(WHPel)        32.1325  se(WHPel)           34.2849
> When the log-likelihood constant is NOT included:
> AIC                6.49476  SC                  6.69835
> HQ                 6.57590  FPE                 661.730
> When the log-likelihood constant is included:
> AIC                9.33263  SC                  9.53623
> HQ                 9.41377  FPE                 11302.0
>
> Normality test:   Chi^2(2) = 8.5411 [0.0140]*
> Hetero test:      F(31,305) = 1.5532 [0.0343]*
> Hetero-X test:    F(122,214)= 1.3075 [0.0444]*
> RESET23 test:     F(2,318) = 2.6783 [0.0702]
>
> */
8 .
9 . *----- Male Energy Level -----
> --
10 . /*
> EQ(14) Modelling WHPel by OLS-CS
>          The dataset is: /Users/robertyaffee/Documents/data/research/chwk/phas
> e3/
>          data/ox/workingdatasets/MARS/guys.dta
>          The estimation sample is: 1 - 340
>          Dropped 10 observation(s) with missing values from the sample
>
>          Coefficient  Std.Error      HACSE  t-HACSE  t-prob Part.R^
> 2
> BSItotal        -4.00730    1.048    1.140   -3.51   0.0005   0.039
> 3
> BSIposymp       5.29711    1.125    1.208    4.38   0.0000   0.059
> 8
> BSIips          -2.91551    0.9161   1.164   -2.50   0.0128   0.020
> 3
> BSIhos          -2.92816    0.6954   0.7123   -4.11   0.0001   0.053
> 0
> childwl         -3.96407    1.790    1.673   -2.37   0.0184   0.018
> 3
> emplw34         -28.0151    9.020    4.785   -5.85   0.0000   0.101
> 9
> occ1w3          -10.5327    3.778    3.576   -2.95   0.0035   0.027
> 9
> occ2w3          -11.0726    3.706    3.795   -2.92   0.0038   0.027
> 4
> occ4w3          -8.95054    4.768    4.309   -2.08   0.0386   0.014
> 1

```

```

> inclw1          39.7223    17.28     7.205    5.51  0.0000  0.091
> 4
> inc2w1          47.2916    17.01     6.030    7.84  0.0000  0.169
> 2
> inc3w1          51.0236    17.25     7.606    6.71  0.0000  0.129
> 7
> inc4w1          55.6203    17.72     8.087    6.88  0.0000  0.135
> 4
> inclw3         -38.6871   16.96     6.292   -6.15  0.0000  0.111
> 3
> inc2w3         -47.5441   17.04     6.740   -7.05  0.0000  0.141
> 5
> inc3w3         -44.9297   17.08     6.511   -6.90  0.0000  0.136
> 2
> inc4w3         -51.4831   18.14     7.795   -6.60  0.0000  0.126
> 2
> deaw3           2.60848   1.609    1.683    1.55  0.1222  0.007
> 9
> dvcew1         -41.8370   18.69     8.564   -4.89  0.0000  0.073
> 2
> sepawl          42.7638   18.44     7.997    5.35  0.0000  0.086
> 5
> movew2           9.97427   3.318    3.884    2.57  0.0107  0.021
> 4
> shfincw1        0.0446831  0.03778   0.03982   1.12  0.2627  0.004
> 2
> suprtw2         0.0726128   0.03375   0.03352   2.17  0.0311  0.015
> 3
> phlthw1        -0.231481   0.06142   0.06027   -3.84  0.0001  0.046
> 6
> radw1            0.0223231  0.03860   0.03746   0.596  0.5517  0.001
> 2
> radhw1           U      -0.149267  0.06998   0.08495   -1.76  0.0799  0.0101
> radhw2           U      0.365397   0.1488    0.1677    2.18  0.0301  0.0155
> radhw3           U      -0.153468   0.1263    0.1262   -1.22  0.2248  0.0049
>
> sigma            23.1123   RSS          161321.656
> log-likelihood   -1489.94
> no. of observations       330  no. of parameters        28
> mean(WHPel)          22.897  se(WHPel)           29.7541
> When the log-likelihood constant is NOT included:
> AIC                6.36176  SC          6.68411
> HQ                 6.49034  FPE         579.502
> When the log-likelihood constant is included:
> AIC                9.19964  SC          9.52198
> HQ                 9.32822  FPE         9897.58
>
> Normality test: Chi^2(2) = 8.6958 [0.0129]*
> Hetero test:      F(42,287) = 1.6272 [0.0118]*

```

```

> RESET23 test:      F(2,300) = 1.2783 [0.2800]
> */
11 .
12 .
13 .
14 . // Full Male Energy level Model
15 .
16 .
17 . des icdx1nr5 icdx2nr4 icdx3nr7 icdx4nr10 icdx4nr12 icdx4nr17 /**
>     icdx5nr4 icdx5nr12 icdx5nr17 icdx5nr7 icdx7nr3

```

variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
icdx1nr5	double	%8.0g		icdx1nr==401 hypertension
icdx2nr4	double	%8.0g		icdx2nr==401 hypertension
icdx3nr7	double	%8.0g		icdx3nr==434.91 crb1 art ocl nos w infarc
icdx4nr10	double	%8.0g		icdx4nr==varicose veins in legs
icdx4nr12	double	%8.0g		icdx4nr==gastritis/duodenitis
icdx4nr17	double	%8.0g		icdx4nr==renal/ureteral calculus
icdx5nr4	double	%8.0g		icdx5nr==rheum fev w/o hrt involv
icdx5nr12	double	%8.0g		icdx5nr==575.1 cholecystitis
icdx5nr17	double	%8.0g		icdx5nr==noninflam ids over/adnex*
icdx5nr7	double	%8.0g		icdx5nr==angina pectoris
icdx7nr3	double	%8.0g		icdx7nr==diabetes militus

```

18 .
19 . des icdx1nr5 icdx4nr10 icdx7nr3

```

variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
icdx1nr5	double	%8.0g		icdx1nr==401 hypertension
icdx4nr10	double	%8.0g		icdx4nr==varicose veins in legs
icdx7nr3	double	%8.0g		icdx7nr==diabetes militus

```

20 . regress WHPel age BSItotal BSIposymp BSIips BSIhos emplw34 ///
>     deaw3 dvcewl sepawl movew2 shfincw1 fdferw1 radw2 ///
>     ecprw3 HP2pbfhm PTSDw2 radtlw1-radtlw2 crhtw1 crhtw2 crhtw3 WHPsleep //
> /
>     WHPpa icdx1nr5 icdx4nr10 icdx7nr3 if gender==1, vce(cluster id)

```

Linear regression

Number of obs =	330
F(25, 329) =	.
Prob > F =	.
R-squared =	0.5367
Root MSE =	21.2

(Std. Err. adjusted for 330 clusters in id)

WHPel	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age	-.0781547	.1042055	-0.75	0.454	-.2831479	.1268384
BSItotal	-2.707507	1.147409	-2.36	0.019	-4.96469	-.4503231
BSIposymp	3.550031	1.227375	2.89	0.004	1.135539	5.964523
BSIips	-1.778571	1.008292	-1.76	0.079	-3.762083	.2049409
BSIhos	-1.676007	.764575	-2.19	0.029	-3.18008	-.1719347
emplw34	-12.0449	5.969653	-2.02	0.044	-23.7884	-.3013909
deaw3	1.380249	1.738314	0.79	0.428	-2.039363	4.799862
dvcewl	-51.85966	9.868365	-5.26	0.000	-71.27272	-32.44661
sepawl	49.03383	9.665983	5.07	0.000	30.0189	68.04876
movew2	6.07847	3.672608	1.66	0.099	-1.146287	13.30323
shfincw1	.0223847	.0373909	0.60	0.550	-.0511707	.0959401
fdferw1	.0944129	.0438584	2.15	0.032	.0081347	.1806911
radw2	.0827594	.0443197	1.87	0.063	-.0044264	.1699451
ecprw3	-.1025451	.0446069	-2.30	0.022	-.1902958	-.0147944
HP2pbfhm	15.20853	5.547149	2.74	0.006	4.296177	26.12089
PTSDw2	-.3666333	.1271032	-2.88	0.004	-.6166709	-.1165957
radtlw1	.0486726	.0591353	0.82	0.411	-.0676583	.1650036
radtlw2	-.0771335	.0613415	-1.26	0.209	-.1978046	.0435376
crhtw1	-2.79737	3.399535	-0.82	0.411	-9.484939	3.890198
crhtw2	12.01725	6.965108	1.73	0.085	-1.684514	25.71902
crhtw3	-8.760432	5.816283	-1.51	0.133	-20.20223	2.681364
WHPsleep	.1230095	.0656598	1.87	0.062	-.0061565	.2521754
WHPpa	.6593744	.1030948	6.40	0.000	.4565663	.8621825
icdx1nr5	13.08532	6.420985	2.04	0.042	.4539503	25.71668
icdx4nr10	17.88941	7.687317	2.33	0.021	2.766916	33.01191
icdx7nr3	23.47319	9.114798	2.58	0.010	5.542553	41.40383
_cons	-11.18532	8.197649	-1.36	0.173	-27.31174	4.941098

```

21 .
22 .
23 . // Trimmed Male Energy level Model
24 .
25 .
26 . des icdx1nr5 icdx2nr4 icdx3nr7 icdx4nr10 icdx4nr12 icdx4nr17 ///
>     icdx5nr4 icdx5nr12 icdx5nr17 icdx5nr7 icdx7nr3

```

variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
icdx1nr5	double	%8.0g		icdx1nr==401 hypertension
icdx2nr4	double	%8.0g		icdx2nr==401 hypertension
icdx3nr7	double	%8.0g		icdx3nr==434.91 crbtl art ocl nos w infarc
icdx4nr10	double	%8.0g		icdx4nr==varicose veins in legs
icdx4nr12	double	%8.0g		icdx4nr==gastritis/duodenitis
icdx4nr17	double	%8.0g		icdx4nr==renal/ureteral calculus
icdx5nr4	double	%8.0g		icdx5nr==rheum fev w/o hrt involv
icdx5nr12	double	%8.0g		icdx5nr==575.1 cholecystitis
icdx5nr17	double	%8.0g		icdx5nr==noninflam ids over/adnex*
icdx5nr7	double	%8.0g		icdx5nr==angina pectoris
icdx7nr3	double	%8.0g		icdx7nr==diabetes militus

```

27 .
28 . * Graph preparation
29 . cap drop h8MElsepred

30 . cap drop H8MaleELpred

31 . cap drop h8MELsepred

32 . cap drop MrELres

33 . cap drop upbELM

```

```

34 . cap drop lpbELM

35 .
36 .
37 .
38 .
39 .
40 . des icdx1nr5 icdx4nr10

```

variable	storage	display	value	
name	type	format	label	variable label
icdx1nr5	double	%8.0g	icdx1nr==401	hypertension
icdx4nr10	double	%8.0g	icdx4nr==varicose	veins in legs

```

41 .
42 .
43 . cap drop MEL1

44 .
45 . regress WHPel age BSItotal BSIposymp BSIips BSIhos emplw34 ///
>     dvcew1 sepaw1 HP2pbfhm movew2 fdferw1 radw2  ///
>     ecprw3 PTSDw2 crhtw1 crhtw2 crhtw3 WHPSleep  ///
>     WHPpa icdx1nr5 icdx4nr10 if gender==1, vce(cluster id)

```

Linear regression

Number of obs =	332
F(21, 331) =	25.05
Prob > F =	0.0000
R-squared =	0.5324
Root MSE =	21.096

(Std. Err. adjusted for **332** clusters in id)

WHPel	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age	-.0691375	.100906	-0.69	0.494	-.2676354	.1293604
BSItotal	-2.757287	1.138382	-2.42	0.016	-4.996662	-.5179109
BSIposymp	3.620638	1.21865	2.97	0.003	1.223362	6.017914
BSIips	-1.800336	.9958733	-1.81	0.072	-3.759375	.1587029
BSIhos	-1.672104	.7583673	-2.20	0.028	-3.163931	-.1802764
emplw34	-10.61663	5.910845	-1.80	0.073	-22.24419	1.010927
dvcew1	-51.69668	8.811191	-5.87	0.000	-69.02967	-34.36368
sepaw1	47.86689	8.661722	5.53	0.000	30.82792	64.90586
HP2pbfhm	14.44848	5.558985	2.60	0.010	3.513089	25.38388
movew2	6.4704	3.587455	1.80	0.072	-.5866869	13.52749
fdferw1	.1003303	.042317	2.37	0.018	.0170861	.1835745
radw2	.0793182	.0420614	1.89	0.060	-.0034231	.1620595
ecprw3	-.096967	.0424824	-2.28	0.023	-.1805365	-.0133975

PTSDw2	-.3656043	.1269055	-2.88	0.004	-.6152474	-.1159612
crhtw1	-2.225473	2.663944	-0.84	0.404	-7.465868	3.014922
crhtw2	11.67578	6.81012	1.71	0.087	-1.720796	25.07235
crhtw3	-9.800454	6.062412	-1.62	0.107	-21.72617	2.125262
WHPsleep	.1208357	.0657579	1.84	0.067	-.0085204	.2501918
WHPpa	.6601579	.1012151	6.52	0.000	.4610519	.8592639
icdx1nr5	14.42615	6.154455	2.34	0.020	2.319376	26.53293
icdx4nr10	18.98845	8.420115	2.26	0.025	2.424759	35.55213
_cons	-13.33733	7.6749	-1.74	0.083	-28.43506	1.760403

```

46 . di e(r2_a)
.50070989

47 . rdiagrbst MEL1
(option xb assumed; fitted values)
(8 missing values generated)
yvar = WHPel
age BSItotal BSIposymp BSIips BSIhos emplw34 dvcewl sepawl HP2pbfhm movew2 fdf
> erw1 radw2 ecprw3 PTSDw2 crhtw1 crhtw2 crhtw3 WHPsleep WHPpa icdx1nr5 icdx4n
> r10 _cons
163
157
xvar = ,age BSItotal BSIposymp BSIips BSIhos emplw34 dvcewl sepawl HP2pbfhm mo
> vew2 fdferwl radw2 ecprw3 PTSDw2 crhtw1 crhtw2 crhtw3 WHPsleep WHPpa icdx1nr
> 5 icdx4nr10

```

	Problems
POuts	0
NOuts	0
Badouts	0
Collin	0
SW	0
KS	0
Spec	0
Strbrk	0
Coltot	0
Pcttot	0

Outlier diagnostics

Fitted values

Percentiles		Smallest		
1%	-13.62149	-52.12167		
5%	-2.174866	-15.48507		
10%	2.168375	-15.19971	Obs	694
25%	8.232635	-15.01565	Sum of Wgt.	694
50%	22.31701		Mean	28.6518
		Largest	Std. Dev.	26.64698
75%	42.84578	112.0004		
90%	68.18063	117.1771	Variance	710.0615
95%	80.6496	118.4509	Skewness	.9767433
99%	108.842	124.8548	Kurtosis	3.739051
(8 missing values generated)				
(175 real changes made)				
(175 real changes made)				
(175 real changes made)				
(175 real changes made)				
(176 real changes made)				
(176 real changes made)				
(176 real changes made)				

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000001	702	.2492877	.4329092	0	1

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000003	702	.2492877	.4329092	0	1

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000005	702	.2507123	.4337322	0	1

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000007	702	.2507123	.4337322	0	1

.18741034

.1881236

Split sample analysis

There are 4 subsamples of equal sample size.

Variance 1 = .18741034

Variance 2 = .18741034

Variance 3 = .1881236

Variance 4 = .1881236

Minimum variance = .18741034

Maximum variance = .1881236

Computing Box's F test as indication of structural break:

```
for segment 1  Box's F = 1
for segment 2  Box's F = 1
for segment 3  Box's F = 1.0038059
for segment 4  Box's F = 1.0038059
```

There appears to be no structural break.

obs:	MEL1std
632.	-3.031243
195.	-1.656355
41.	-1.645646
203.	-1.638739
631.	-1.62885

363.	3.058261
357.	3.127883
7.	3.322151
312.	3.369956
6.	3.610279

There are 1 positive outliers

id	MEL1std
6.	442 3.610279

There are 25 negative outliers:

	id	MEL1std
41.	574	-1.645646
125.	240	-1.355251
195.	496	-1.656355
198.	238	-1.345346
203.	552	-1.638739
235.	125	-1.309154
484.	544	-1.306762
486.	450	-1.247351
519.	540	-1.293661
539.	322	-1.230607
540.	740	-1.270912
541.	168	-1.403481
546.	592	-1.2272
563.	325	-1.329852
564.	746	-1.228079
590.	208	-1.58642
592.	437	-1.305188
611.	510	-1.214854
631.	547	-1.62885
632.	204	-3.031243
643.	74	-1.557815
653.	5	-1.222711
655.	444	-1.623661
668.	166	-1.26147
702.	445	-1.315417

(702 real changes made, 702 to missing)

1

25

(9 real changes made)

34

outlier

Percentiles	Smallest		Obs	702
1%	0	0		
5%	0	0		
10%	0	0		
25%	0	0	Sum of Wgt.	702

50%	0		Mean	.0128205
		Largest	Std. Dev.	.1125798
75%	0	1		
90%	0	1	Variance	.0126742
95%	0	1	Skewness	8.661004
99%	1	1	Kurtosis	76.01299

(351 real changes made)

—00000N	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	351	50.00	50.00
1	351	50.00	100.00
Total	702	100.00	

Stem-and-leaf plot for MEL1std

MEL1std rounded to nearest multiple of .01
plot in units of .01

-30*	3
-29*	
-28*	
-27*	
-26*	
-25*	
-24*	
-23*	
-22*	
-21*	
-20*	
-19*	
-18*	
-17*	
-16*	65432
-15*	96
-14*	0
-13*	6532111
-12*	9765333210
-11*	9987777655544333211100
-10*	9998876665544332100
-9*	99999988777766666666555444433333333222111000
-8*	88887777666554443333222211100000000
-7*	99988888777766666555443333222221111000
-6*	9999887766665554433322221110000
-5*	999888776666544333322222111100
-4*	9888886666555544444432221111000
-3*	988777775444333222221111000
-2*	998877555544444332222111000

-1*	9997777554444332111100
-0*	9887444444433332111
0*	00111233335555677889
1*	000122333445566666677889999
2*	111222234445667788
3*	00112234455566777889
4*	011122344446888889
5*	001233333345777
6*	00000011222556669
7*	0222333455566678
8*	001144455555589
9*	11233666789
10*	0455568
11*	034445677899
12*	111233467779
13*	3447899
14*	38
15*	3567788889
16*	0124566
17*	35667
18*	01123444689
19*	3579
20*	479
21*	58
22*	4
23*	2467
24*	13557
25*	37
26*	8
27*	137
28*	68
29*	7
30*	0146
31*	3
32*	
33*	27
34*	
35*	
36*	1

Computing the leverage h

MEL1std

	Percentiles	Smallest		
1%	-1.58642	-3.031243		
5%	-1.156854	-1.656355		
10%	-.993862	-1.645646	Obs	694
25%	-.7662843	-1.638739	Sum of Wgt.	694
50%	-.2377301		Mean	-8.93e-10
		Largest	Std. Dev.	1
75%	.5326675	3.127883		
90%	1.483427	3.322151	Variance	1
95%	1.951358	3.369956	Skewness	.9767433
99%	3.009356	3.610279	Kurtosis	3.739051

standard error of skewness= .09231881
 (702 real changes made, 702 to missing)

t test for skewness = .
 badout= 1
 Positive outliers are :

id
6. 442

1

Negative outliers are:

id
41. 574
125. 240
195. 496
198. 238
203. 552
235. 125
484. 544
486. 450
519. 540
539. 322
540. 740
541. 168
546. 592
563. 325

564.	746
590.	208
592.	437
611.	510
631.	547
632.	204
643.	74
653.	5
655.	444
668.	166
702.	445

25

multicollinearity tests:

Variable	VIF	1/VIF
BSIposymp	463.79	0.002156
BSItotal	442.86	0.002258
crhtw2	20.65	0.048435
crhtw3	14.91	0.067076
BSIhos	4.28	0.233687
crhtw1	3.95	0.253384
BSIips	3.07	0.325950
dvcew1	2.50	0.400164
sepaw1	2.47	0.404640
WHPsleep	1.70	0.589109
ecprw3	1.63	0.612932
PTSDw2	1.57	0.635880
fdferw1	1.57	0.637837
WHPpa	1.51	0.662964
age	1.42	0.703785
radw2	1.34	0.744578
HP2pbfhm	1.17	0.856143
movew2	1.17	0.857911
icdx1nr5	1.15	0.872182
icdx4nr10	1.09	0.913818
emplw34	1.08	0.928876
Mean VIF	46.42	

Condition number using scaled variables = **310.53**

Condition Indexes and Variance-Decomposition Proportions

condition

	index	_cons	age	BSItotal	BSIposymp	BSIips	BSIhos	emplw3
> 4	dvcewl	sepawl	HP2pbfhm	movew2	fdferwl	radw2		
1	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2	1.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	2.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 4	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	2.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	3.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 5	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.6
> 9	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 4	0.00	0.01	0.51	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.01	
8	3.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1
> 4	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.11	0.02	0.02	0.01	
9	3.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.29	0.02	0.00	0.00	
10	4.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 1	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	
11	4.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	
12	5.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 1	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.68	0.00		
13	5.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 1	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.26		
14	5.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.19	0.19	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.35		
15	6.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.51	0.48	0.04	0.01	0.07	0.19		
16	7.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.0
> 0	0.12	0.12	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.13		
17	10.28	0.02	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.02	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00		
18	11.69	0.01	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.33	0.0
> 1	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02		
19	18.14	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01		
20	22.89	0.93	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
21	26.82	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.54	0.0
> 0	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01		
22	310.53	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.06	0.0
> 2	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00		

condition	index	ecprw3	PTSDw2	crhtw1	crhtw2	crhtw3	WHPsleep	WHPp
> a	icdx1nr5	icdx4nr10						
1	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00						
2	1.74	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00						
3	2.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00						
4	2.98	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 1	0.20	0.26						
5	3.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.0
> 1	0.05	0.52						
6	3.16	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 2	0.02	0.05						
7	3.25	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.0
> 5	0.08	0.00						
8	3.47	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 2	0.49	0.00						
9	3.70	0.01	0.32	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 3	0.07	0.04						
10	4.15	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.3
> 5	0.01	0.04						
11	4.56	0.02	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.1
> 1	0.00	0.00						
12	5.23	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.08	0.1
> 8	0.02	0.01						
13	5.50	0.04	0.03	0.29	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.0
> 0	0.01	0.01						
14	5.99	0.24	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.0
> 7	0.00	0.00						
15	6.37	0.03	0.01	0.14	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00						
16	7.47	0.40	0.03	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.13	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00						
17	10.28	0.10	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.0
> 1	0.02	0.01						
18	11.69	0.08	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.0
> 5	0.00	0.00						
19	18.14	0.01	0.00	0.22	0.95	0.89	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.01	0.00						
20	22.89	0.03	0.05	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.0
> 1	0.01	0.01						
21	26.82	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.0
> 6	0.00	0.02						
22	310.53	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.0
> 2	0.00	0.02						

Residual normality tests:

Shapiro-Wilk W test for normal data

Variable	Obs	W	V	z	Prob>z
MEL1std	694	0.92789	32.628	8.500	0.00000

Skewness/Kurtosis tests for Normality

Variable	Obs	Pr(Skewness)	Pr(Kurtosis)	adj chi2(2)	Prob>chi2
MEL1std	694	0.0000	0.0017	72.09	0.0000

Jarque-Bera normality test: 126.1 Chi(2) 4.1e-28
Jarque-Bera test for Ho: normality:
(file qnMEL1std.gph saved)
(file bxMEL1std.gph saved)
(bin=26, start=-3.0312431, width=.25544316)
(file histMEL1std.gph saved)
(file kdMEL1std.gph saved)
(file combi4.gph saved)

Specification error tests:

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of WHPel

Ho: model has no omitted variables

F(3, 307) = 2.10
Prob > F = 0.0997

Assessment of fulfillment of cross-sectional statistical regression assumption

> s:

Caveat: This score is a heuristic only as these scores are not strictly additive

Regression model assumption test result matrix

	Problems=1
Positive-outliers	1
Negative-outliers	25
Bad-outliers	1
collinearity	1
Shapiro-Wilk	1
Kolmogorov-Smirnov	1
Specification	0
StructuralBreak	0
TotalProbs	3
Percent-Invalid	60
Pct-Pseudo-Valid	40

```
Problem type total score = Bad-Outliers+collinearity + (SW + KS)/2 + Spec + st
> rbrk
Percent_Valid = 100 - (percent of violated assumptions)
```

Legend:

PosOutliers = positive outlier issue
 NegOutliers = negative outlier issue
 Badoutliers = Number of outliers with bad leverage
 collinearity = Collinearity problem when condition number > 20
 SW = Significant Shapiro-Wilk test
 KS = Significant Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
 Spec = Significant Ramset23 reset test
 strbrk = Existence of structural break in residual variance between 4 sample s
 > egments
 Column total = the total number of counted problems in the column vector
 Percent invalid: Percent of 6 regression assumptions violated
 Percent Pseudo-Validity = percent of regression model assumptions not violate
 > d

48 .

```
49 . regress WHPel age BSItotal BSIposymp BSIips BSIhos emplw34 ///
>      dvcew1 sepaw1 HP2pbfhm movew2 fdferw1 radw2 ///
>      ecprw3 PTSDw2 crhtw1 crhtw2 crhtw3 WHPsleep ///
>      WHPpa icdx1nr5 icdx4nr10 if gender==1, vce(cluster id)
```

Linear regression

Number of obs = **332**
F(21, 331) = 25.05
Prob > F = **0.0000**
R-squared = **0.5324**
Root MSE = **21.096**

(Std. Err. adjusted for **332** clusters in id)

WHPel	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age	-.0691375	.100906	-0.69	0.494	-.2676354	.1293604
BSItotal	-2.757287	1.138382	-2.42	0.016	-4.996662	-.5179109
BSIposymp	3.620638	1.21865	2.97	0.003	1.223362	6.017914
BSIips	-1.800336	.9958733	-1.81	0.072	-3.759375	.1587029
BSIhos	-1.672104	.7583673	-2.20	0.028	-3.163931	-.1802764
emplw34	-10.61663	5.910845	-1.80	0.073	-22.24419	1.010927
dvcew1	-51.69668	8.811191	-5.87	0.000	-69.02967	-34.36368
sepaw1	47.86689	8.661722	5.53	0.000	30.82792	64.90586
HP2pbfhm	14.44848	5.558985	2.60	0.010	3.513089	25.38388
movew2	6.4704	3.587455	1.80	0.072	-.5866869	13.52749
fdferw1	.1003303	.042317	2.37	0.018	.0170861	.1835745
radw2	.0793182	.0420614	1.89	0.060	-.0034231	.1620595
ecprw3	-.096967	.0424824	-2.28	0.023	-.1805365	-.0133975
PTSDw2	-.3656043	.1269055	-2.88	0.004	-.6152474	-.1159612
crhtw1	-2.225473	2.663944	-0.84	0.404	-7.465868	3.014922
crhtw2	11.67578	6.81012	1.71	0.087	-1.720796	25.07235
crhtw3	-9.800454	6.062412	-1.62	0.107	-21.72617	2.125262
WHPsleep	.1208357	.0657579	1.84	0.067	-.0085204	.2501918
WHPpa	.6601579	.1012151	6.52	0.000	.4610519	.8592639
icdx1nr5	14.42615	6.154455	2.34	0.020	2.319376	26.53293
icdx4nr10	18.98845	8.420115	2.26	0.025	2.424759	35.55213
_cons	-13.33733	7.6749	-1.74	0.083	-28.43506	1.760403

```

50 . di e(r2_a)
.50070989

51 .
52 . predict H8MaleELpred if gender==2, xb
(340 missing values generated)

53 . predict h8MELsepred if gender==2, stdp
(340 missing values generated)

54 . predict MrELres if gender==2, residual
(340 missing values generated)

55 . gen upbELM = H8MaleELpred + 1.96*h8MELsepred
(340 missing values generated)

56 . gen lpbELM = H8MaleELpred - 1.96*h8MELsepred
(340 missing values generated)

57 .
58 . scatter H8MaleELpred MrELres || lowess H8MaleELpred MrELres ///
> || lowess upbm MrELres || lowess lpbm MrELres, ///
> title(Prediction interval of Male Energy Level model) ///
> ytitle(Predicted Male Energy Level)

59 .
60 .
61 .
62 . gr save MELPredInt.gph, replace
(file MELPredInt.gph saved)

63 . gr export MELPredInt.eps, replace
(file MELPredInt.eps written in EPS format)

64 . gr use MELPredInt.gph

65 .

```

```

66 . *-- no significant male energy level relationships apparent
67 . cap drop mfelres

68 . rdiagrbst mfelres
(option xb assumed; fitted values)
(8 missing values generated)
yvar = WHPel
age BSItotal BSIposymp BSIips BSIhos emplw34 dvcew1 sepawl HP2pbfhm movew2 fdf
> erw1 radw2 ecprw3 PTSDw2 crhtw1 crhtw2 crhtw3 WHPsleep WHPpa icdx1nr5 icdx4n
> r10 _cons
163
157
xvar = ,age BSItotal BSIposymp BSIips BSIhos emplw34 dvcew1 sepawl HP2pbfhm mo
> vew2 fdferw1 radw2 ecprw3 PTSDw2 crhtw1 crhtw2 crhtw3 WHPsleep WHPpa icdx1nr
> 5 icdx4nr10

```

	Problems
POuts	0
NOuts	0
Badouts	0
Collin	0
SW	0
KS	0
Spec	0
Strbrk	0
Coltot	0
Pcttot	0

Outlier diagnostics

Fitted values			
	Percentiles	Smallest	
1%	-13.62149	-52.12167	
5%	-2.174866	-15.48507	
10%	2.168375	-15.19971	Obs 694
25%	8.232635	-15.01565	Sum of Wgt. 694

50%	22.31701	Mean	28.6518
	Largest	Std. Dev.	26.64698
75%	42.84578	Variance	710.0615
90%	68.18063	Skewness	.9767433
95%	80.6496	Kurtosis	3.739051
99%	108.842		

(175 real changes made)
 (175 real changes made)
 (175 real changes made)
 (175 real changes made)
 (176 real changes made)
 (176 real changes made)
 (176 real changes made)
 (176 real changes made)

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000001	702	.2492877	.4329092	0	1
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000003	702	.2492877	.4329092	0	1
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000005	702	.2507123	.4337322	0	1
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000007	702	.2507123	.4337322	0	1

.18741034
 .1881236

Split sample analysis

There are 4 subsamples of equal sample size.

Variance 1 = .18741034
 Variance 2 = .18741034
 Variance 3 = .1881236
 Variance 4 = .1881236

Minimum variance = .18741034

Maximum variance = .1881236

Computing Box's F test as indication of structural break:

for segment 1 Box's F = 1
 for segment 2 Box's F = 1
 for segment 3 Box's F = 1.0038059

for segment 4 Box's F = 1.0038059

There appears to be no structural break.

obs:	mfelres~d
632.	-2.901686
41.	-1.617251
195.	-1.61562
203.	-1.602458
631.	-1.584322

363.	3.096782
357.	3.192965
7.	3.327403
312.	3.366506
6.	3.626858

There are 1 positive outliers

id	mfelre~d
6.	442 3.626858

There are 25 negative outliers:

	id	mfelres~d
41.	574	-1.617251
125.	240	-1.168731
195.	496	-1.61562
198.	238	-1.314312
203.	552	-1.602458
235.	125	-1.295844
484.	544	-1.28838
486.	450	-1.262166
519.	540	-1.289497
539.	322	-1.259367
540.	740	-1.271721
541.	168	-1.355291
546.	592	-1.111634
563.	325	-1.256084

564.	746	-1.226634
590.	208	-1.469984
592.	437	-1.281741
611.	510	-1.193721
631.	547	-1.584322
632.	204	-2.901686
643.	74	-1.551588
653.	5	-1.226107
655.	444	-1.580035
668.	166	-1.220241
702.	445	-1.324495

(702 real changes made, 702 to missing)

1

25

(9 real changes made)

34

outlier

Percentiles		Smallest		
1%	0		0	
5%	0		0	
10%	0		0	Obs 702
25%	0		0	Sum of Wgt. 702
50%	0			Mean .0128205
		Largest		Std. Dev. .1125798
75%	0		1	
90%	0		1	Variance .0126742
95%	0		1	Skewness 8.661004
99%	1		1	Kurtosis 76.01299

(351 real changes made)

____00000N	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	351	50.00	50.00
1	351	50.00	100.00
Total	702	100.00	

Stem-and-leaf plot for mfelresstd

mfelresstd rounded to nearest multiple of **.01**
plot in units of **.01**

-29*	0
-28*	
-27*	
-26*	
-25*	
-24*	
-23*	
-22*	
-21*	
-20*	
-19*	
-18*	
-17*	
-16*	220
-15*	885
-14*	7
-13*	632100
-12*	9987666332100
-11*	998888777665554322100000
-10*	999888777665555543321100000
-9*	99999887777666655555544333222111100
-8*	998888777666655555555443333222211111100000
-7*	99998887776666544444433333222111111100000
-6*	99987777666655555433322211000000
-5*	999997776655444333332221100
-4*	998877776655444333333222211100
-3*	9998888877766444333222211100
-2*	9887766554333332221100
-1*	999988777765544443322210
-0*	99998766666543332211
0*	0000112222344666677888999
1*	001112334445555667778889
2*	00112224455556677778
3*	0012333334445555567889999
4*	001133334566777888
5*	00112333344557777
6*	1123335677789
7*	00134556889999
8*	113445567789
9*	011124566779
10*	1255578
11*	01224456668889
12*	011234445669
13*	1678
14*	001367
15*	347889
16*	0111245678
17*	4889
18*	0111134556789

19*	11
20*	058
21*	489
22*	
23*	0018
24*	189
25*	023589
26*	4
27*	127
28*	29
29*	36
30*	2
31*	09
32*	
33*	37
34*	
35*	
36*	3

Computing the leverage h

mfelresstd

	Percentiles	Smallest		
1%	-1.551588	-2.901686		
5%	-1.172749	-1.617251		
10%	-1.018658	-1.61562	Obs	694
25%	-.7725602	-1.602458	Sum of Wgt.	694
50%	-.2283684		Mean	4.86e-11
		Largest	Std. Dev.	1
75%	.5292838	3.192965		
90%	1.459196	3.327403	Variance	1
95%	1.906616	3.366506	Skewness	.9823176
99%	2.960396	3.626858	Kurtosis	3.704572

standard error of skewness= .09231881
 (702 real changes made, 702 to missing)

t test for skewness = .
 badout= 1
 Positive outliers are :

id
6. 442

1

Negative outliers are:

id
41. 574
125. 240
195. 496
198. 238
203. 552
235. 125
484. 544
486. 450
519. 540
539. 322
540. 740
541. 168
546. 592
563. 325
564. 746
590. 208
592. 437
611. 510
631. 547
632. 204
643. 74
653. 5
655. 444
668. 166
702. 445

25

multicollinearity tests:

Variable	VIF	1/VIF
BSIposymp	463.79	0.002156
BSItotal	442.86	0.002258
crhtw2	20.65	0.048435
crhtw3	14.91	0.067076
BSIhos	4.28	0.233687
crhtw1	3.95	0.253384
BSIips	3.07	0.325950
dvcew1	2.50	0.400164
sepawl	2.47	0.404640
WHPsleep	1.70	0.589109
ecprw3	1.63	0.612932
PTSDw2	1.57	0.635880
fdferw1	1.57	0.637837
WHPpa	1.51	0.662964
age	1.42	0.703785
radw2	1.34	0.744578
HP2pbfhm	1.17	0.856143
movew2	1.17	0.857911
icdx1nr5	1.15	0.872182
icdx4nr10	1.09	0.913818
emplw34	1.08	0.928876

Mean VIF **46.42**

Condition number using scaled variables = **310.53**

Condition Indexes and Variance-Decomposition Proportions

condition	index	_cons	age	BSItotal	BSIposymp	BSIips	BSIhos	emplw3
> 4	dvcew1	sepawl	HP2pbfhm	movew2	fdferw1	radw2		
1	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0								
2	1.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0								
3	2.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 4								
4	2.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0								
5	3.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 5								
6	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.6
> 9								
7	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 4								
8	3.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1
> 4								

9	3.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.29	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
10	4.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 1	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.0
11	4.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.0
12	5.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 1	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.0
13	5.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 1	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.26	0.00	0.0
14	5.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.19	0.19	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.35	0.00	0.0
15	6.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.51	0.48	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.19	0.00	0.0
16	7.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.0
> 0	0.12	0.12	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.13	0.00	0.0
17	10.28	0.02	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.02	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
18	11.69	0.01	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.33	0.0
> 1	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.0
19	18.14	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.0
20	22.89	0.93	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
21	26.82	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.54	0.0
> 0	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.0
22	310.53	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.06	0.0
> 2	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0

condition

	index	ecprw3	PTSDw2	crhtw1	crhtw2	crhtw3	WHPsleep	WHPp
> a	icdx1nr5	icdx4nr10						
1	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00						
2	1.74	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00						
3	2.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00						
4	2.98	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 1	0.20	0.26						
5	3.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.0
> 1	0.05	0.52						
6	3.16	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 2	0.02	0.05						
7	3.25	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.0
> 5	0.08	0.00						
8	3.47	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
> 2	0.49	0.00						
9	3.70	0.01	0.32	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0

> 3	0.07	0.04						
10	4.15	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.3
> 5	0.01	0.04						
11	4.56	0.02	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.1
> 1	0.00	0.00						
12	5.23	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.08	0.1
> 8	0.02	0.01						
13	5.50	0.04	0.03	0.29	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.0
> 0	0.01	0.01						
14	5.99	0.24	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.0
> 7	0.00	0.00						
15	6.37	0.03	0.01	0.14	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00						
16	7.47	0.40	0.03	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.13	0.0
> 0	0.00	0.00						
17	10.28	0.10	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.0
> 1	0.02	0.01						
18	11.69	0.08	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.0
> 5	0.00	0.00						
19	18.14	0.01	0.00	0.22	0.95	0.89	0.00	0.0
> 0	0.01	0.00						
20	22.89	0.03	0.05	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.0
> 1	0.01	0.01						
21	26.82	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.0
> 6	0.00	0.02						
22	310.53	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.0
> 2	0.00	0.02						

Residual normality tests:

Shapiro-Wilk W test for normal data

Variable	Obs	W	V	z	Prob>z
mfelresstd	694	0.92711	32.980	8.526	0.00000

Skewness/Kurtosis tests for Normality

Variable	Obs	Pr(Skewness)	Pr(Kurtosis)	adj chi2(2)	Prob>chi2	joint
mfelresstd	694	0.00000	0.0024	72.09	0.0000	

Jarque-Bera normality test: 126.1 Chi(2) 4.1e-28

Jarque-Bera test for Ho: normality:

```
(file qnmfelresstd.gph saved)
(file bxfmfelresstd.gph saved)
(bin=26, start=-2.901686, width=.25109784)
(file histmfelresstd.gph saved)
(file kdmfelresstd.gph saved)
```

(file combi4.gph saved)

Specification error tests:

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of WHPel

Ho: model has no omitted variables

F(3, 307) = **2.10**
Prob > F = **0.0997**

Assessment of fulfillment of cross-sectional statistical regression assumption

> s:

Caveat: This score is a heuristic only as these scores are not strictly additive

> iive

Regression model assumption test result matrix

	Problems=1
Positive-outliers	1
Negative-outliers	25
Bad-outliers	1
collinearity	1
Shapiro-Wilk	1
Kolmogorov-Smirnov	1
Specification	0
StructuralBreak	0
TotalProbs	3
Percent-Invalid	60
Pct-Pseudo-Valid	40

Problem type total score = Bad-Outliers+collinearity + (SW + KS)/2 + Spec + st

> rbrk

Percent_Valid = 100 - (percent of violated assumptions)

Legend:

PosOutliers = positive outlier issue
NegOutliers = negative outlier issue
Badoutliers = Number of outliers with bad leverage
collinearity = Collinearity problem when condition number > 20
SW = Significant Shapiro-Wilk test
KS = Significant Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
Spec = Significant Ramsey's RESET test
strbrk = Existence of structural break in residual variance between 4 sample segments
Column total = the total number of counted problems in the column vector
Percent invalid: Percent of 6 regression assumptions violated
Percent Pseudo-Validity = percent of regression model assumptions not violated
> d

69 .
70 . title "Male Energy level indirect effects test"

```
*****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *      Male Energy level indirect effects test      *****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *          13 Jul 2012     12:43:43    *****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *
```

```

71 . set more off

72 . foreach var in age BSItotal BSIposymp BSIips BSIhos emplw34 ///
>     dvcew1 sepawl HP2pbfhm movew2 fdferwl radw2 ///
>     ecprw3 PTSDw2 WHPsleep ///
>     WHPpa icdx1nr5 icdx4nr10 {
    2. sem (crhtw1-> `var')(`var'-> whpel) if gender==1, nocapslatent iterate(50
> )
    3. sem (crhtw2-> `var')(`var'-> whpel) if gender==1, nocapslatent iterate(50
> )
    4. sem (crhtw3-> `var')(`var'-> whpel) if gender==1, nocapslatent iterate(50
> )
    5. }

```

Endogenous variables

Observed: **age whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

```

Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -3388.1498
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -3388.1498

```

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method	= m1		
Log likelihood	= -3388.1498		

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
age <-						
crhtw1	4.266795	.6763452	6.31	0.000	2.941183	5.592408
_cons	49.78043	.635245	78.36	0.000	48.53537	51.02549
whpel <-						
age	.6520821	.1284968	5.07	0.000	.4002329	.9039312
_cons	-8.87731	6.510931	-1.36	0.173	-21.6385	3.88388
Variance						
e.age	133.6667	10.26687			114.9854	155.383
e.whpel	836.0192	64.21425			719.1771	971.8442

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **8.74**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0031**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **age whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3378.6532**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3378.6532**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **m1**
Log likelihood = **-3378.6532**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
age <-						
crhtw2	4.951499	.6691348	7.40	0.000	3.640019	6.262979
_cons	50.08515	.6280741	79.74	0.000	48.85415	51.31616
whpel <-						
age	.6520821	.1284968	5.07	0.000	.4002329	.9039312
_cons	-8.87731	6.510931	-1.36	0.173	-21.6385	3.88388
Variance						
e.age	128.5885	9.876824			110.617	149.4798
e.whpel	836.0192	64.21425			719.1771	971.8442

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **19.29**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **age whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3379.1466**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3379.1466**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-3379.1466**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
age <- crhtw3	4.882082	.6713623	7.27	0.000	3.566236	6.197928
_cons	50.07363	.629633	79.53	0.000	48.83957	51.30769
whpel <- age						
_cons	.6520821	.1284968	5.07	0.000	.4002329	.9039312
Variance						
e.age	129.2045	9.924137			111.1469	150.1959
e.whpel	836.0192	64.21425			719.1771	971.8442

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **15.89**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0001**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSItotal whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3585.1737**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3585.1737** (backed up)

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-3585.1737**

```

> -
> ]                                     OIM
> |           Coef.     Std. Err.      z     P>|z|      [95% Conf. Interval
> |
> -
Structural
BSItotal <-
  crhtw1 |   9.021393   1.334088    6.76  0.000    6.406629   11.6361
> 6
  _cons |  80.52399   1.253018   64.26  0.000   78.06812   82.9798
> 6
> -
whpel <-
  BSItotal |   .5999744   .0585998   10.24  0.000    .4851208   .714827
> 9
  _cons | -24.3549   4.856893   -5.01  0.000   -33.87423   -14.8355
> 7
> -
Variance
e.BSItotal |  520.0619   39.94571
> 5
  e.whpel |  687.0699   52.77352
> 6
> -
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 2.07, Prob > chi2 = 0.1499

```

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSItotal whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3557.0957**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3557.0957**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3557.0957			

OIM						
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
> -						
>]						
> -						
Structural						
BSItotal <-						
crhtw2	12.94002	1.249467	10.36	0.000	10.49111	15.3889
> 3						
_cons	81.62295	1.172795	69.60	0.000	79.32431	83.9215
> 8						
> -						
whpel <-						
BSItotal	.5999744	.0585998	10.24	0.000	.4851208	.714827
> 9						
_cons	-24.3549	4.856893	-5.01	0.000	-33.87423	-14.8355
> 7						
> -						
Variance						
e.BSItotal	448.3577	34.43815			385.6952	521.200
> 8						
e.whpel	687.0699	52.77352			591.045	798.695
> 6						
> -						
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 2.97, Prob > chi2 = 0.0846						

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSItotal whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3554.7635**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3554.7635** (backed up)

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3554.7635			

```

> -
> ]                                     OIM
> |           Coef.     Std. Err.      z     P>|z|      [95% Conf. Interval
> |
> -
Structural
BSItotal <- crhtw3 | 13.19186   1.243221   10.61   0.000    10.75519   15.6285
> 3
      _cons | 81.67267   1.165947   70.05   0.000    79.38745   83.9578
> 8


---


> -
whpel <-
BSItotal | .5999744   .0585998   10.24   0.000    .4851208   .714827
> 9
      _cons | -24.3549   4.856893   -5.01   0.000   -33.87423   -14.8355
> 7


---


> -
Variance
e.BSItotal | 443.0575   34.03105
> 5
      e.whpel | 687.0699   52.77352
> 6

```

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 1.30, Prob > chi2 = 0.2545

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIposymp whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3563.5688**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3563.5688**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3563.5688			

```

> —
> 1]                                OIM
>                               Coef.    Std. Err.      z     P>|z|      [ 95% Conf. Interva
> —
Structural
BSIposymp <-
  crhtw1 |   8.980154   1.259147   7.13   0.000   6.512271   11.448
> 04
  _cons |   76.1612   1.182631   64.40   0.000   73.84329   78.479
> 11
> —
whpel <-
  BSIposymp |   .6433832   .0613132   10.49   0.000   .5232115   .76355
> 49
  _cons |  -24.99152   4.804602   -5.20   0.000  -34.40837  -15.574
> 67
> —
Variance
e.BSIposymp |  463.2751   35.58394
> 17
  e.whpel |  678.9858   52.15259
> 82
> —
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 1.44, Prob > chi2 = 0.2300

```

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIposymp whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3535.7959**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3535.7959**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3535.7959			

```

> —
> 1]                                OIM
> —
> Structural
>   BSIposymp <-
>     crhtw2 | 12.51389  1.180342  10.60  0.000  10.20046  14.827
> 32
>     _cons | 77.1876   1.107911  69.67  0.000  75.01614  79.359
> 07
> —
> whpel <-
>   BSIposymp | .6433832  .0613132  10.49  0.000  .5232115  .76355
> 49
>     _cons | -24.99152  4.804602  -5.20  0.000  -34.40837  -15.574
> 67
> —
> Variance
>   e.BSIposymp | 400.1201  30.73304
> 61
>   e.whpel | 678.9858  52.15259
> 82
> —

```

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **2.33**, Prob > chi2 = **0.1266**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIposymp whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3533.9356**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3533.9356**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method	= ml		
Log likelihood	= -3533.9356		

```

> —
> 1]                                OIM
>                               Coef.    Std. Err.      z     P>|z|      [ 95% Conf. Interva
> —
Structural
  BSIposymp <-
    crhtw3 | 12.69567   1.176077   10.79   0.000   10.3906   15.000
> 74
    _cons | 77.2243   1.102977   70.01   0.000   75.06251   79.38
> 61
> —
  whpel <-
    BSIposymp | .6433832   .0613132   10.49   0.000   .5232115   .76355
> 49
    _cons | -24.99152   4.804602   -5.20   0.000   -34.40837   -15.574
> 67
> —
Variance
  e.BSIposymp | 396.4926   30.45442
> 94
    e.whpel | 678.9858   52.15259
> 82
> —
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 0.92, Prob > chi2 = 0.3371

```

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIips whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2845.823**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2845.823**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -2845.823			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSIips <- crhtw1 _cons	.2430919 5.892955	.1379814 .1295965	1.76 45.47	0.078 0.000	-.0273466 5.63895	.5135304 6.146959
whpel <- BSIips _cons	3.784059 1.019645	.6560487 4.145849	5.77 0.25	0.000 0.806	2.498227 -7.106069	5.06989 9.14536
Variance						
e.BSIips e.whpel	5.563233 819.1385	.4273094 62.91765			4.785716 704.6557	6.467072 952.221

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 15.87, Prob > chi2 = 0.0001

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIips whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -2835.7362

Iteration 1: log likelihood = -2835.7362

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -2835.7362			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSIips <- crhtw2 _cons	.5758156 5.964361	.1362729 .1279107	4.23 46.63	0.000 0.000	.3087256 5.713661	.8429056 6.215062
whpel <- BSIips _cons	3.784059 1.019645	.6560487 4.145849	5.77 0.25	0.000 0.806	2.498227 -7.106069	5.06989 9.14536

Variance					
e.BSIips	5.333276	.4096465		4.587897	6.199754
e.whpel	819.1385	62.91765		704.6557	952.221

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **23.88**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIips whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2834.0135**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2834.0135**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -2834.0135			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSIips <-						
crhtw3	.6199075	.1358357	4.56	0.000	.3536744	.8861405
_cons	5.972633	.1273927	46.88	0.000	5.722948	6.222318
whpel <-						
BSIips	3.784059	.6560487	5.77	0.000	2.498227	5.06989
_cons	1.019645	4.145849	0.25	0.806	-7.106069	9.14536
Variance						
e.BSIips	5.289219	.4062625			4.549997	6.148539
e.whpel	819.1385	62.91765			704.6557	952.221

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **19.24**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIhos whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2942.8705**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2942.8705**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-2942.8705**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSIhos <- crhtw1	1.61792	.1847007	8.76	0.000	1.255913	1.979927
_cons	7.988048	.1734768	46.05	0.000	7.64804	8.328057
whpel <- BSIhos						
_cons	2.699659	.4422217	6.10	0.000	1.83292	3.566397
e.whpel						
e.BSIhos	9.968356	.7656648			8.575178	11.58788
e.whpel	810.433	62.24898			697.1668	942.1011

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **4.32**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0378**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIhos whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2921.5266**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2921.5266**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-2921.5266**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSIhos <- crhtw2 _cons	1.968381 8.120309	.1764559 .1656279	11.16 49.03	0.000 0.000	1.622534 7.795684	2.314228 8.444933
whpel <- BSIhos _cons	2.699659 2.243944	.4422217 3.763121	6.10 0.60	0.000 0.551	1.83292 -5.131638	3.566397 9.619527
Variance						
e.BSIhos e.whpel	8.942261 810.433	.6868509 62.24898			7.69249 697.1668	10.39508 942.1011

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 11.20, Prob > chi2 = 0.0008

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIhos whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2923.5203**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2923.5203**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -2923.5203			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSIhos <- crhtw3 _cons	1.933039 8.114301	.1778286 .1667755	10.87 48.65	0.000 0.000	1.584501 7.787427	2.281577 8.441174
whpel <- BSIhos _cons	2.699659 2.243944	.4422217 3.763121	6.10 0.60	0.000 0.551	1.83292 -5.131638	3.566397 9.619527

Variance	e.BSIhos	9.064981	.696277	7.798058	10.53774
	e.whpel	810.433	62.24898	697.1668	942.1011

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **8.62**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0033**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **emplw34 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1931.2121**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1931.2121**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -1931.2121			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
emplw34 <-						
crhtw1	.000872	.0088799	0.10	0.922	-.0165323	.0182764
_cons	.0237228	.0083403	2.84	0.004	.007376	.0400695
whpel <-						
emplw34	-10.63927	10.71563	-0.99	0.321	-31.64153	10.36298
_cons	23.43928	1.646125	14.24	0.000	20.21293	26.66562
Variance						
e.emplw34	.0230413	.0017698			.019821	.0267847
e.whpel	896.9202	68.89202			771.5665	1042.64

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **18.80**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **emplw34 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1928.143**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1928.143**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-1928.143**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
emplw34 <-						
crhtw2	.0047789	.0089534	0.53	0.594	-.0127695	.0223273
_cons	.0244782	.008404	2.91	0.004	.0080066	.0409497
whpel <-						
emplw34	-10.63927	10.71563	-0.99	0.321	-31.64153	10.36298
_cons	23.43928	1.646125	14.24	0.000	20.21293	26.66562
Variance						
e.emplw34	.0230226	.0017684			.0198049	.026763
e.whpel	896.9202	68.89202			771.5665	1042.64

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **34.62**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **emplw34 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1927.7467**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1927.7467**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-1927.7467**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
emplw34 <- crhtw3 _cons	.0059716 .0246992	.0089597 .0084028	0.67 2.94	0.505 0.003	-.0115891 .00823	.0235323 .0411683
whpel <- emplw34 _cons	-10.63927 23.43928	10.71563 1.646125	-0.99 14.24	0.321 0.000	-31.64153 20.21293	10.36298 26.66562
Variance						
e.emplw34 e.whpel	.0230118 896.9202	.0017675 68.89202			.0197956 771.5665	.0267504 1042.64

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **30.22**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **dvcewl whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1853.1263**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1853.1263**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-1853.1263**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
dvcewl <- crhtw1 _cons	.0036273 .0152648	.0070493 .0066209	0.51 2.31	0.607 0.021	-.010189 .002288	.0174437 .0282416
whpel <- dvcewl _cons	-10.70611 23.34611	13.5004 1.639577	-0.79 14.24	0.428 0.000	-37.1664 20.1326	15.75419 26.55962

Variance							
e.dvcewl	.0145204	.0011153			.012491	.0168795	
e.whpel	897.8627	68.96442			772.3774	1043.735	

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **18.94**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **dvcewl whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1850.1333**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1850.1333**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -1850.1333			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
dvcewl <-						
crhtw2	-.0044273	.0071092	-0.62	0.533	-.0183611	.0095065
_cons	.0139346	.006673	2.09	0.037	.0008558	.0270134
whpel <-						
dvcewl	-10.70611	13.5004	-0.79	0.428	-37.1664	15.75419
_cons	23.34611	1.639577	14.24	0.000	20.1326	26.55962
Variance						
e.dvcewl	.0145151	.0011149			.0124865	.0168733
e.whpel	897.8627	68.96442			772.3774	1043.735

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **33.89**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **dvcewl whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1849.8323**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1849.8323**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-1849.8323**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
dvcewl <- crhtw3	-.0042485	.0071162	-0.60	0.550	-.018196	.009699
_cons	.0139664	.0066739	2.09	0.036	.0008858	.027047
whpel <-						
dvcewl	-10.70611	13.5004	-0.79	0.428	-37.1664	15.75419
_cons	23.34611	1.639577	14.24	0.000	20.1326	26.55962
Variance						
e.dvcewl	.0145165	.001115			.0124876	.0168749
e.whpel	897.8627	68.96442			772.3774	1043.735

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **29.46**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **sepawl whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1816.1964**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1816.1964**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-1816.1964**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
sepawl <- crhtw1 _cons	-.0020554 .0115073	.006316 .0059322	-0.33 1.94	0.745 0.052	-.0144345 -.0001196	.0103237 .0231342
whpel <- sepawl _cons	1.833433 23.16657	15.085 1.63861	0.12 14.14	0.903 0.000	-27.73263 19.95495	31.3995 26.37818
Variance						
e.sepawl e.whpel	.0116565 899.4892	.0008953 69.08935			.0100274 773.7765	.0135503 1045.626

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **18.72**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **sepawl whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1812.8402**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1812.8402**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -1812.8402			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
sepawl <- crhtw2 _cons	-.006223 .0106543	.0063629 .0059724	-0.98 1.78	0.328 0.074	-.018694 -.0010514	.006248 .0223601
whpel <- sepawl _cons	1.833433 23.16657	15.085 1.63861	0.12 14.14	0.903 0.000	-27.73263 19.95495	31.3995 26.37818

Variance					
e.sepawl	.0116274	.0008931		.0100023	.0135164
e.whpel	899.4892	69.08935		773.7765	1045.626

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 34.31, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Endogenous variables

Observed: sepawl whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtw3

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -1812.5197

Iteration 1: log likelihood = -1812.5197

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -1812.5197			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
sepawl <-						
crhtw3	-.0062536	.0063687	-0.98	0.326	-.0187361	.006229
_cons	.0106471	.0059729	1.78	0.075	-.0010595	.0223538
whpel <-						
sepawl	1.833433	15.085	0.12	0.903	-27.73263	31.3995
_cons	23.16657	1.63861	14.14	0.000	19.95495	26.37818
Variance						
e.sepawl	.0116271	.0008931			.0100021	.0135161
e.whpel	899.4892	69.08935			773.7765	1045.626

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 29.84, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Endogenous variables

Observed: HP2pbfhm whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtwl**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2072.1799**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2072.1799**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-2072.1799**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
<hr/>							
>	-						
<hr/>							
>	-						
Structural							
>	9	HP2pbfhm <- crhtwl	.0469389	.0138696	3.38	0.001	.0197549 .074122
>	9	_cons	.0686178	.0130268	5.27	0.000	.0430857 .094149
<hr/>							
>	-						
>	6	whpel <- HP2pbfhm	30.75508	6.547763	4.70	0.000	17.9217 43.5884
>	4	_cons	21.28302	1.629682	13.06	0.000	18.0889 24.4771
<hr/>							
>	-						
Variance							
>	7	e.HP2pbfhm	.0562104	.0043175			.0483544 .065342
>	3	e.whpel	844.5641	64.87058			726.5278 981.777
<hr/>							
>	-						
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 13.30 , Prob > chi2 = 0.0003							

Endogenous variables

Observed: **HP2pbfhm whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2069.0851**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2069.0851**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-2069.0851**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
>	-						
>]						
>	-						
Structural							
	HP2pbfhm <-						
>	crhtw2	.0480162	.0139834	3.43	0.001	.0206093	.075423
>	1						
	_cons	.0707822	.0131253	5.39	0.000	.0450571	.096507
>	3						
>	-						
	whpel <-						
>	HP2pbfhm	30.75508	6.547763	4.70	0.000	17.9217	43.5884
>	6						
	_cons	21.28302	1.629682	13.06	0.000	18.0889	24.4771
>	4						
>	-						
Variance							
	e.HP2pbfhm	.0561563	.0043133			.0483079	.065279
>	8						
	e.whpel	844.5641	64.87058			726.5278	981.777
>	3						
>	-						
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 27.12 , Prob > chi2 = 0.0000							

Endogenous variables

Observed: **HP2pbfhm whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2069.1746**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2069.1746**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-2069.1746**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
<hr/>							
>	-						
<hr/>							
>	-						
Structural							
>	5	HP2pbfhm <- crhtw3	.0463741	.0140132	3.31	0.001	.0189087 .073839
>	2	_cons	.070492	.0131422	5.36	0.000	.0447337 .096250
<hr/>							
>	-						
>	6	whpel <- HP2pbfhm	30.75508	6.547763	4.70	0.000	17.9217 43.5884
>	4	_cons	21.28302	1.629682	13.06	0.000	18.0889 24.4771
<hr/>							
>	-						
Variance							
>	4	e.HP2pbfhm	.056291	.0043237			.0484237 .065436
>	3	e.whpel	844.5641	64.87058			726.5278 981.777
<hr/>							
>	-						
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 23.29 , Prob > chi2 = 0.0000							

Endogenous variables

Observed: **movew2 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2275.0207**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2275.0207**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-2275.0207**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
movew2 <- crhtw1	-.0281516	.0247099	-1.14	0.255	-.0765821	.0202789
_cons	.1523413	.0232083	6.56	0.000	.1068538	.1978288
whpel <-						
movew2	10.3012	3.80826	2.70	0.007	2.837143	17.76525
_cons	21.57769	1.718111	12.56	0.000	18.21025	24.94513
Variance						
e.movew2	.1784138	.0137039			.1534787	.2074001
e.whpel	880.5236	67.63261			757.4615	1023.579

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **20.75**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **movew2 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2272.51**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2272.51**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-2272.51**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
movew2 <- crhtw2 _cons	-.0168134 .1532484	.0249555 .0234241	-0.67 6.54	0.500 0.000	-.0657253 .107338	.0320984 .1991588
whpel <- movew2 _cons	10.3012 21.57769	3.80826 1.718111	2.70 12.56	0.007 0.000	2.837143 18.21025	17.76525 24.94513
Variance						
e.movew2 e.whpel	.1788575 880.5236	.013738 67.63261			.1538603 757.4615	.2079158 1023.579

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 36.23, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Endogenous variables

Observed: **movew2 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -2272.42

Iteration 1: log likelihood = -2272.42

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -2272.42			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
movew2 <- crhtw3 _cons	-.000499 .1562502	.0249955 .0234419	-0.02 6.67	0.984 0.000	-.0494893 .110305	.0484913 .2021955
whpel <- movew2 _cons	10.3012 21.57769	3.80826 1.718111	2.70 12.56	0.007 0.000	2.837143 18.21025	17.76525 24.94513

Variance						
e.movew2	.1790967	.0137563			.1540662	.2081939
e.whpel	880.5236	67.63261			757.4615	1023.579

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **30.37**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **fdferwl whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3765.2912**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3765.2912**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3765.2912			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
fdferwl <-						
crhtw1	17.30225	2.076568	8.33	0.000	13.23226	21.37225
_cons	34.65956	1.950379	17.77	0.000	30.83689	38.48223
whpel <-						
fdferwl	.2278975	.039934	5.71	0.000	.1496283	.3061667
_cons	15.84977	2.018522	7.85	0.000	11.89354	19.806
Variance						
e.fdferwl	1260.023	96.78179			1083.922	1464.734
e.whpel	820.6842	63.03637			705.9853	954.0177

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **5.42**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0200**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **fdferwl whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3759.3175**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3759.3175**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3759.3175**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
fdferw1 <- crhtw2	18.19466	2.075891	8.76	0.000	14.12599	22.26334
_cons	35.54854	1.948507	18.24	0.000	31.72954	39.36754
whpel <- fdferw1						
fdferw1	.2278975	.039934	5.71	0.000	.1496283	.3061667
_cons	15.84977	2.018522	7.85	0.000	11.89354	19.806
Variance						
e.fdferw1	1237.611	95.06032			1064.642	1438.681
e.whpel	820.6842	63.03637			705.9853	954.0177

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **15.48**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0001**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **fdferw1 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3761.171**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3761.171**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3761.171**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
fdferw1 <- crhtw3 _cons	17.68632 35.45953	2.091175 1.961196	8.46 18.08	0.000 0.000	13.58769 31.61566	21.78495 39.30341
whpel <- fdferw1 _cons	.2278975 15.84977	.039934 2.018522	5.71 7.85	0.000 0.000	.1496283 11.89354	.3061667 19.806
Variance						
e.fdferw1 e.whpel	1253.558 820.6842	96.2852 63.03637			1078.361 705.9853	1457.219 954.0177

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **12.62**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0004**
 (7 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **radw2 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3657.072**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3657.072**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	332
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3657.072			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
radw2 <- crhtw1 _cons	4.611486	1.860266	2.48	0.013	.9654316	8.257541
	41.76776	1.75893	23.75	0.000	38.32032	45.2152
whpel <- radw2 _cons	.2056051	.0498992	4.12	0.000	.1078046	.3034057
	14.61413	2.599108	5.62	0.000	9.519968	19.70829
Variance						
e.radw2	1004.094	77.93284			862.3995	1169.07
e.whpel	845.4037	65.61605			726.1028	984.3062

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **15.68**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0001**
 (7 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **radw2 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3644.503**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3644.503**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	332
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3644.503			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
radw2 <- crhtw2 _cons	9.120107 42.72483	1.830975 1.72357	4.98 24.79	0.000 0.000	5.531463 39.3467	12.70875 46.10297
whpel <- radw2 _cons	.2056051 14.61413	.0498992 2.599108	4.12 5.62	0.000 0.000	.1078046 9.519968	.3034057 19.70829
Variance						
e.radw2 e.whpel	951.5686 845.4037	73.85605 65.61605			817.286 726.1028	1107.914 984.3062

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **26.08**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**
 (7 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **radw2 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3644.8065**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3644.8065**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	332
Estimation method	= ml		
Log likelihood	= -3644.8065		

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
radw2 <- crhtw3 _cons	8.978785 42.7519	1.833572 1.727621	4.90 24.75	0.000 0.000	5.385049 39.36582	12.57252 46.13797
whpel <- radw2 _cons	.2056051 14.61413	.0498992 2.599108	4.12 5.62	0.000 0.000	.1078046 9.519968	.3034057 19.70829
Variance						
e.radw2 e.whpel	953.79 845.4037	74.02846 65.61605			819.1939 726.1028	1110.501 984.3062

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 21.64, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Endogenous variables

Observed: **ecprw3 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3766.4684**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3766.4684**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3766.4684			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
ecprw3 <- crhtw1 _cons	13.94133 53.50934	2.007126 1.885157	6.95 28.38	0.000 0.000	10.00743 49.8145	17.87523 57.20418
whpel <- ecprw3 _cons	.1054497 17.75458	.0440511 2.785974	2.39 6.37	0.017 0.000	.0191112 12.29418	.1917882 23.21499

Variance					
e.ecprw3	1177.161	90.41717		1012.641	1368.41
e.whpel	884.5759	67.94386		760.9475	1028.29

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 13.92, Prob > chi2 = 0.0002

Endogenous variables

Observed: **ecprw3 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -3725.8653

Iteration 1: log likelihood = -3725.8653

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3725.8653			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
ecprw3 <-						
crhtw2	21.78669	1.811629	12.03	0.000	18.23596	25.33741
_cons	55.53693	1.700461	32.66	0.000	52.20409	58.86978
whpel <-						
ecprw3	.1054497	.0440511	2.39	0.017	.0191112	.1917882
_cons	17.75458	2.785974	6.37	0.000	12.29418	23.21499
Variance						
e.ecprw3	942.5699	72.39835			810.8362	1095.706
e.whpel	884.5759	67.94386			760.9475	1028.29

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 29.31, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Endogenous variables

Observed: **ecprw3 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3724.666**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3724.666**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3724.666**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
ecprw3 <-						
crhtw3	21.9394	1.808607	12.13	0.000	18.39459	25.4842
_cons	55.57065	1.696191	32.76	0.000	52.24618	58.89513
whpel <-						
ecprw3	.1054497	.0440511	2.39	0.017	.0191112	.1917882
_cons	17.75458	2.785974	6.37	0.000	12.29418	23.21499
Variance						
e.ecprw3	937.674	72.0223			806.6246	1090.014
e.whpel	884.5759	67.94386			760.9475	1028.29

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **24.48**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **PTSDw2 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3410.3977**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3410.3977**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3410.3977**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
PTSDw2 <- crhtw1 _cons	4.225546 5.700233	.7028926 .6601792	6.01 8.63	0.000 0.000	2.847902 4.406306	5.60319 6.99416
whpel <- PTSDw2 _cons	.325227 21.52964	.1276617 1.739965	2.55 12.37	0.011 0.000	.0750146 18.11937	.5754394 24.93991
Variance						
e.PTSDw2 e.whpel	144.3658 882.6305	11.08867 67.79444			124.1892 759.274	167.8203 1026.028

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **13.95**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0002**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **PTSDw2 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3400.382**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3400.382**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3400.382			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
PTSDw2 <- crhtw2 _cons	5.014186 6.022352	.694335 .651728	7.22 9.24	0.000 0.000	3.653314 4.744989	6.375057 7.299715
whpel <- PTSDw2 _cons	.325227 21.52964	.1276617 1.739965	2.55 12.37	0.011 0.000	.0750146 18.11937	.5754394 24.93991

Variance					
e.PTSDw2	138.4564	10.63477		119.1057	160.9509
e.whpel	882.6305	67.79444		759.274	1026.028

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **27.94**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **PTSDw2 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3399.8788**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3399.8788**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3399.8788			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
PTSDw2 <-						
crhtw3	5.036777	.6946016	7.25	0.000	3.675382	6.398171
_cons	6.027799	.6514279	9.25	0.000	4.751024	7.304574
whpel <-						
PTSDw2	.325227	.1276617	2.55	0.011	.0750146	.5754394
_cons	21.52964	1.739965	12.37	0.000	18.11937	24.93991
Variance						
e.PTSDw2	138.3042	10.62308			118.9748	160.774
e.whpel	882.6305	67.79444			759.274	1026.028

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **23.65**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **WHPsleep whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3602.7875**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3602.7875**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3602.7875**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
<hr/>							
>	-						
<hr/>							
>	-						
Structural							
	WHPsleep <-						
>	crhtw1	8.230277	1.380734	5.96	0.000	5.524089	10.9364
>	_cons	18.51758	1.296829	14.28	0.000	15.97584	21.0593
>	2						
<hr/>							
>	-						
	whpel <-						
>	WHPsleep	.5524787	.0584041	9.46	0.000	.4380088	.666948
>	_cons	13.60385	1.768015	7.69	0.000	10.13861	17.069
>	1						
<hr/>							
>	-						
Variance							
	e.WHPsleep	557.0653	42.78793			479.2098	647.569
>	7						
	e.whpel	711.6722	54.66322			612.2089	827.29
>	5						
<hr/>							
>	-						
	LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 3.93 , Prob > chi2 = 0.0474						

Endogenous variables

Observed: **WHPsleep whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3588.5612**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3588.5612**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3588.5612**

		OIM				
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
>	-					
>]					
>	-					
	Structural					
>	WHPsleep <- crhtw2	10.55025	1.347088	7.83	0.000	7.910005 13.1904
>	9					
>	_cons	19.28923	1.264425	15.26	0.000	16.811 21.7674
>	6					
>	-					
>	whpel <- WHPsleep	.5524787	.0584041	9.46	0.000	.4380088 .666948
>	5					
>	_cons	13.60385	1.768015	7.69	0.000	10.13861 17.069
>	1					
>	-					
	Variance					
>	e.WHPsleep	521.1546	40.02965			448.318 605.824
>	7					
>	e.whpel	711.6722	54.66322			612.2089 827.29
>	5					
>	-					
	LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 8.74 , Prob > chi2 = 0.0031					

Endogenous variables

Observed: **WHPsleep whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3588.4568**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3588.4568**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3588.4568**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
<hr/>							
>	-						
<hr/>							
>]						
<hr/>							
>	-						
Structural							
	WHPsleep <-						
>	crhtw3	10.52349	1.349191	7.80	0.000	7.879121	13.1678
>	5						
	_cons	19.287	1.26533	15.24	0.000	16.807	21.76
>	7						
<hr/>							
>	-						
	whpel <-						
>	WHPsleep	.5524787	.0584041	9.46	0.000	.4380088	.666948
>	5						
	_cons	13.60385	1.768015	7.69	0.000	10.13861	17.069
>	1						
<hr/>							
>	-						
Variance							
	e.WHPsleep	521.8075	40.07979			448.8797	606.583
>	7						
	e.whpel	711.6722	54.66322			612.2089	827.29
>	5						
<hr/>							
>	-						
	LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 6.31 , Prob > chi2 = 0.0120						

Endogenous variables

Observed: **WHPpa whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3417.2795**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3417.2795**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3417.2795**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
WHPpa <- crhtw1	3.695303	.8266374	4.47	0.000	2.075123	5.315482
_cons	10.0378	.7764043	12.93	0.000	8.516071	11.55952
whpel <- WHPpa						
whpel	1.05408	.0962907	10.95	0.000	.8653541	1.242807
_cons	13.16113	1.673165	7.87	0.000	9.881786	16.44047
Variance						
e.WHPpa	199.6717	15.33669			171.7655	232.1116
e.whpel	664.5983	51.04749			571.714	772.5732

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **6.04**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0140**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **WHPpa whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3404.0715**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3404.0715**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3404.0715**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
WHPpa <- crhtw2 _cons	5.268614 10.48209	.80892 .7592816	6.51 13.81	0.000 0.000	3.68316 8.993925	6.854069 11.97025
whpel <- WHPpa _cons	1.05408 13.16113	.0962907 1.673165	10.95 7.87	0.000 0.000	.8653541 9.881786	1.242807 16.44047
Variance						
e.WHPpa e.whpel	187.9257 664.5983	14.43449 51.04749			161.6612 571.714	218.4573 772.5732

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 10.13, Prob > chi2 = 0.0015

Endogenous variables

Observed: **WHPpa whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3401.5633**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3401.5633**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3401.5633			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
WHPpa <- crhtw3 _cons	5.53761 10.533	.8044585 .7544565	6.88 13.96	0.000 0.000	3.9609 9.054297	7.11432 12.01171
whpel <- WHPpa _cons	1.05408 13.16113	.0962907 1.673165	10.95 7.87	0.000 0.000	.8653541 9.881786	1.242807 16.44047

Variance	e.WHPpa	185.5116	14.24906	159.5845	215.651
	e.whpel	664.5983	51.04749	571.714	772.5732

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **6.45**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0111**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx1nr5 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtwl**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2098.1829**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2098.1829**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	339
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -2098.1829			

		OIM				
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
<hr/>						
> -						
<hr/>						
Structural						
icdx1nr5 <-						
crhtwl		.03333603	.0148946	2.24	0.025	.0041674 .062553
> 1						
_cons		.07555376	.0139895	5.40	0.000	.0481187 .102956
> 4						
<hr/>						
> -						
whpel <-						
icdx1nr5		26.37937	6.187348	4.26	0.000	14.25239 38.5063
> 4						
_cons		21.32064	1.646305	12.95	0.000	18.09394 24.5473
> 3						
<hr/>						
> -						
Variance						
e.icdx1nr5		.064825	.0049792			.0557651 .075356
> 9						
e.whpel		853.751	65.57622			734.4307 992.456

```

> 8
|
> -
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 15.49, Prob > chi2 = 0.0001

Endogenous variables

Observed: icdxlnr5 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtw2

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -2089.0566
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -2089.0566

Structural equation model
Number of obs = 339
Estimation method = ml
Log likelihood = -2089.0566

|
> -
|
> ]  

          OIM
      Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval
> ]
|
> -
Structural
  icdxlnr5 <-
    crhtw2 | .0622764 .0147519 4.22 0.000 .0333632 .091189
> 6
    _cons | .0822558 .0138467 5.94 0.000 .0551168 .109394
> 7
|
> -
  whpel <-
    icdxlnr5 | 26.37937 6.187348 4.26 0.000 14.25239 38.5063
> 4
    _cons | 21.32064 1.646305 12.95 0.000 18.09394 24.5473
> 3
|
> -
Variance
  e.icdxlnr5 | .0624987 .0048005 .0537639 .072652
> 6
  e.whpel | 853.751 65.57622 734.4307 992.456
> 8

```

```
> -
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1)      =     26.21, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx1nr5 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2089.4783**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2089.4783**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **m1**
Log likelihood = **-2089.4783**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval	
>]						
> -						
Structural						
icdx1nr5 <-						
crhtw3	.0596904	.0147979	4.03	0.000	.0306871	.088693
> 7						
_cons	.0817952	.0138781	5.89	0.000	.0545946	.108995
> 8						
> -						
whpel <-						
icdx1nr5	26.37937	6.187348	4.26	0.000	14.25239	38.5063
> 4						
_cons	21.32064	1.646305	12.95	0.000	18.09394	24.5473
> 3						
> -						
Variance						
e.icdx1nr5	.0627715	.0048215			.0539986	.072969
> 8						
e.whpel	853.751	65.57622			734.4307	992.456
> 8						

```
> —  
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 22.51, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx4nr10 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtwl**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1697.3354**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1697.3354**

Structural equation model Number of obs = 339
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-1697.3354**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
> 1]							
<hr/>							
> —	Structural						
	icdx4nr10 <-						
> 88	crhtwl	-.0007924	.0044799	-0.18	0.860	-.0095728	.0079
> 39	_cons	.0057871	.0042077	1.38	0.169	-.0024598	.01403
<hr/>							
> —	whpel <-						
> 32	icdx4nr10	46.68724	21.1188	2.21	0.027	5.295158	88.079
> 07	_cons	22.91276	1.622125	14.13	0.000	19.73345	26.092
<hr/>							
> —	Variance						
> 71	e.icdx4nr10	.0058644	.0004504			.0050448	.00681
> 11	e.whpel	886.7447	68.11045			762.8132	1030.8
<hr/>							

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **19.16**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx4nr10 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1694.2406**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1694.2406**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**

Estimation method = **ml**

Log likelihood = **-1694.2406**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
> 1]						
> 55	icdx4nr10 <- crhtw2	.0027026	.0045166	0.60	0.550	-.0061498 .0115
> 62	_cons	.006397	.0042395	1.51	0.131	-.0019122 .01470
> 32	whpel <- icdx4nr10	46.68724	21.1188	2.21	0.027	5.295158 88.079
> 07	_cons	22.91276	1.622125	14.13	0.000	19.73345 26.092
> 06	e.icdx4nr10	.0058587	.00045		.0050399 .00681	
> 11	e.whpel	886.7447	68.11045		762.8132 1030.8	
> —	LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 33.77 , Prob > chi2 = 0.0000					

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx4nr10 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1693.9017**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1693.9017**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **339**
Estimation method = **m1**
Log likelihood = **-1693.9017**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
>	—						
>	1]						
>	—						
	Structural						
>	79	icdx4nr10 <- crhtw3	.0028678	.0045205	0.63	0.526	-.0059923 .01172
>	75	_cons	.0064281	.0042396	1.52	0.129	-.0018813 .01473
>	—						
>	32	whpel <- icdx4nr10	46.68724	21.1188	2.21	0.027	5.295158 88.079
>	07	_cons	22.91276	1.622125	14.13	0.000	19.73345 26.092
>	—						
	Variance						
>	97	e.icdx4nr10	.0058579	.0004499			.0050392 .00680
>	11	e.whpel	886.7447	68.11045			762.8132 1030.8
>	—						
	LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 29.27 , Prob > chi2 = 0.0000						

```

73 .
74 . *-- Possible male energy level indirect effects:
75 . // wave 1 icdx1nr5 WHPpa WHPsleep PTSDw2 ecprw3 radw2 fdferw1 HP2pbfhm BSIh
> os /**
76 . // BSIips BSIposymp BSItotal age
77 . // wave 2 icdx1nr5 WHPpa WHPsleep PTSDw2 ecprw3 radw2 fdferw1 HP2pbfhm BSI
> hos /**
78 . // BSIips BSIposymp BSItotal age
79 . // wave 3 icdx1nr5 WHPpa WHPsleep PTSDw2 ecprw3 radw2 fdferw1 HP2pbfhm BSIh
> os /**
80 . // BSIips BSIposymp BSItotal age
81 .
82 . *-----Full Female energy level crhtw model-----
> --
83 . des icdx3nr1 icdx4nr17 icdx5nr17

```

variable	storage	display	value
name	type	format	label
icdx3nr1	double	%8.0g	icdx3nr==218.9 uterine leimyoma
icdx4nr17	double	%8.0g	icdx4nr==renal/ureteral calculus
icdx5nr17	double	%8.0g	icdx5nr==noninflam ids over/adnex*

```

84 . regress WHPel age marrw11-marrw16 marrw21 marrw23-marrw26 /**
>     BSIposymp BSIanx BSIips phlthw3 mhlthw1 /**
>     PTSDw1 PTSDw2 HP2pbfhm WHPpain WHPsleep whpsociso /**
>     ecprw3 crhtw1 crhtw2 /**
>     crhtw3 icdx3nr1 icdx4nr17 icdx5nr17 /**
>     if gender==2, vce(cluster id)

```

Linear regression

Number of obs =	362
F(28, 361) =	.
Prob > F =	.
R-squared =	0.6052
Root MSE =	22.605

(Std. Err. adjusted for **362** clusters in id)

WHPel	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age	-.2148822	.1738148	-1.24	0.217	-.5566989	.1269345
marrw11	17.40548	5.353797	3.25	0.001	6.876934	27.93403
marrw12	28.11882	11.83915	2.38	0.018	4.836464	51.40118
marrw13	15.16825	5.55842	2.73	0.007	4.237304	26.0992
marrw14	-2.520636	13.3589	-0.19	0.850	-28.79167	23.7504
marrw15	21.83596	10.49956	2.08	0.038	1.187974	42.48394
marrw16	2.352378	13.22871	0.18	0.859	-23.66263	28.36739

marrw21	-1.559047	6.077451	-0.26	0.798	-13.5107	10.39261
marrw23	6.639661	5.974968	1.11	0.267	-5.110455	18.38978
marrw24	34.83679	14.59299	2.39	0.017	6.138844	63.53474
marrw25	6.183476	8.749918	0.71	0.480	-11.02374	23.39069
marrw26	14.30319	11.99957	1.19	0.234	-9.294659	37.90103
BSIposymp	.532809	.1353933	3.94	0.000	.2665503	.7990676
BSIanx	-1.738122	.681747	-2.55	0.011	-3.078816	-.3974273
BSIips	-2.01419	.7227165	-2.79	0.006	-3.435453	-.5929266
phlthw3	-.4074832	.0780976	-5.22	0.000	-.5610666	-.2538999
mhlthw1	.1525962	.0592099	2.58	0.010	.0361565	.2690359
PTSDw1	.1088724	.0432028	2.52	0.012	.0239116	.1938332
PTSDw2	-.3388124	.1242522	-2.73	0.007	-.5831615	-.0944633
HP2pbfhm	11.91385	4.731403	2.52	0.012	2.609276	21.21843
WHPpain	.3035065	.0837569	3.62	0.000	.1387939	.4682192
WHPsleep	.1336833	.063404	2.11	0.036	.0089956	.258371
whpsociso	.3282066	.084664	3.88	0.000	.16171	.4947032
ecprw3	-.119038	.0374974	-3.17	0.002	-.1927787	-.0452973
crhtw1	-1.945426	2.131067	-0.91	0.362	-6.136291	2.245439
crhtw2	-.9360901	6.44183	-0.15	0.885	-13.60432	11.73214
crhtw3	4.140817	5.730003	0.72	0.470	-7.127561	15.4092
icdx3nr1	14.67325	7.363389	1.99	0.047	.1927215	29.15377
icdx4nr17	21.61661	7.893558	2.74	0.006	6.093479	37.13975
icdx5nr17	91.59683	3.188637	28.73	0.000	85.32619	97.86746
_cons	9.478404	13.21447	0.72	0.474	-16.5086	35.46541

```

85 .
86 . * Variable labels
87 . des WHPel age marrw11-marrw16 marrw21 marrw23-marrw26 ///
>     BSIposymp BSIanx BSIips phlthw3 mhlthw1 ///
>     PTSDw1 PTSDw2 WHPpain whpsociso WHPsleep ///
>     HP2pbfhm ecprw3 crhtw1 crhtw2 goferw2 ///
>     crhtw3 icdx3nr1 icdx4nr17 icdx5nr17

```

variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
WHPel	double	%9.0g		Wtd Health Profile Pt 1 Energy Level Subscale
age	double	%8.0g	* Respondent's age	
marrw11	byte	%8.0g	marrw1==1. single	
marrw12	byte	%8.0g	marrw1==2. cohabitating	
marrw13	byte	%8.0g	marrw1==3. married	
marrw14	byte	%8.0g	marrw1==4. separated	
marrw15	byte	%8.0g	marrw1==5. divorced	
marrw16	byte	%8.0g	marrw1==6. widowed	
marrw21	byte	%8.0g	marrw2==1. single	
marrw23	byte	%8.0g	marrw2==3. married	
marrw24	byte	%8.0g	marrw2==4. separated	

marrw25	byte %8.0g		marrw2==5. divorced
marrw26	byte %8.0g		marrw2==6. widowed
BSIposymp	double %9.0g		Brief Symptom inventory positive symptom total subscale
BSIanx	double %9.0g		Basic symptom inventory Anxiety subscale
BSIips	double %9.0g		Basic symptom inventory interpersonal sensitivity subscale
phlthw3	double %8.0g		level of general physical health now
mhlthw1	double %8.0g		level of general psychological/mental health in 1986
PTSDw1	double %9.0g		Average PTSD level in percent in wave 1
PTSDw2	double %9.0g		Average PTSD level in percent in wave 2
WHPpain	double %9.0g		Wtd Health Profile Pain Pt 1 subscale
whpsociso	float %9.0g		
WHPsleep	double %9.0g		Wtd Health Profile Sleep Pt 1 subscale
HP2pbfhm	double %9.0g	hp2fmt	Hlth profile Pt2: Hlth causing probs with family members at home
ecprw3	double %8.0g		consider hazardous (in percent) - economic problems, NOW
crhtw1	float %9.0g		Chornobyl related health threat: wave 1 alpha = .7962935573200089
crhtw2	float %9.0g		Chornobyl related health threat: wave 2 alpha = .8219889682935094
goferw2	double %8.0g		level of fear in percent from going outdoors in 1987-1996
crhtw3	float %9.0g		Chornobyl related health threat: wave 3 alpha = .8347477221944793
icdx3nr1	double %8.0g		icdx3nr==218.9 uterine leimyoma
icdx4nr17	double %8.0g		icdx4nr==renal/ureteral calculus
icdx5nr17	double %8.0g		icdx5nr==noninflam ids over/adnex*

```

88 . *-----Trimmed Female energy level crhtw model-----
> -----
89 . * Graph preparation
90 .
91 . cap drop H8FemELpred
92 . cap drop h8FELsepred
93 . cap drop FrELres
94 . cap drop upbELF
95 . cap drop lpbELF
96 .
97 .
98 .
99 .
100 . regress WHPel age marrw11-marrw16 marrw21 marrw23-marrw26 ///
>     BSIposymp BSIanx BSIips phlthw3 mhlthw1 ///
>     PTSDw1 PTSDw2 WHPain whpsociso WHPsleep ///
>     HP2pbfhm ecprw3 crhtw1 crhtw2 goferw2 ///
>     crhtw3 icdx3nr1 icdx4nr17 icdx5nr17 ///
>     if gender==2, vce(cluster id)

```

Linear regression

Number of obs =	361
F(29, 360) =	.
Prob > F =	.
R-squared =	0.6085
Root MSE =	22.553

(Std. Err. adjusted for **361** clusters in id)

WHPel	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age	-.212877	.1726229	-1.23	0.218	-.5523529	.1265989
marrw11	17.88026	5.258447	3.40	0.001	7.539127	28.22139
marrw12	31.46741	10.71814	2.94	0.004	10.38938	52.54544
marrw13	16.03725	5.467654	2.93	0.004	5.284694	26.7898
marrw14	-3.317784	13.24666	-0.25	0.802	-29.36833	22.73277
marrw15	25.88531	11.23619	2.30	0.022	3.788503	47.98211
marrw16	5.456849	12.88541	0.42	0.672	-19.88328	30.79698
marrw21	-2.075267	5.80236	-0.36	0.721	-13.48605	9.335512
marrw23	5.465469	5.693588	0.96	0.338	-5.731402	16.66234
marrw24	33.05545	14.51577	2.28	0.023	4.509091	61.6018
marrw25	3.908074	8.637572	0.45	0.651	-13.07836	20.89451
marrw26	12.17646	11.93763	1.02	0.308	-11.2998	35.65271
BSIposymp	.5597333	.1363131	4.11	0.000	.2916633	.8278033

BSI anx	-1.776903	.6804479	-2.61	0.009	-3.115056	-.4387513
BSI ips	-2.134538	.7148057	-2.99	0.003	-3.540257	-.7288186
phlthw3	-.4035284	.0782493	-5.16	0.000	-.5574117	-.2496452
mhlthw1	.1484583	.0600982	2.47	0.014	.0302708	.2666459
PTSDw1	.113965	.0430557	2.65	0.008	.0292929	.1986372
PTSDw2	-.3418776	.1264007	-2.70	0.007	-.590454	-.0933012
WHPpain	.2895208	.0847594	3.42	0.001	.1228351	.4562065
whpsociso	.3251524	.0840791	3.87	0.000	.1598044	.4905004
WHPsleep	.1371931	.0631875	2.17	0.031	.0129301	.2614562
HP2pbfhm	12.76826	4.768822	2.68	0.008	3.390011	22.14651
ecprw3	-.1131189	.0374951	-3.02	0.003	-.1868558	-.039382
crhtw1	-2.40462	2.099111	-1.15	0.253	-6.53268	1.723441
crhtw2	-.7576826	6.316829	-0.12	0.905	-13.1802	11.66484
goferw2	-.1392557	.0706704	-1.97	0.050	-.2782344	-.0002771
crhtw3	4.709302	5.624592	0.84	0.403	-6.351883	15.77049
icdx3nr1	14.07788	7.524196	1.87	0.062	-.719014	28.87478
icdx4nr17	20.77076	7.559287	2.75	0.006	5.904855	35.63667
icdx5nr17	90.87823	3.219395	28.23	0.000	84.54705	97.20941
_cons	9.454195	13.12864	0.72	0.472	-16.36427	35.27266

```

101 . di e(r2_a)
.5715698

102 . predict H8FemELpred if gender==2, xb
(341 missing values generated)

103 . predict h8FELsepred if gender==2, stdp
(343 missing values generated)

104 . predict FrELres if gender==2, residual
(341 missing values generated)

105 . gen upbELF = H8FemELpred + 1.96*h8FELsepred
(343 missing values generated)

106 . gen lpbELF = H8FemELpred - 1.96*h8FELsepred
(343 missing values generated)

```

```

107 .
108 . scatter H8FemELpred FrELres || lowess H8FemELpred FrELres ///
>    || lowess upbELF FrELres || lowess lpbELF FrELres, ///
>    title(Prediction interval of Female Energy Level model)    ///
>    ytitle(Predicted Female Energy Level)

109 .
110 .
111 .
112 .
113 .
114 .
115 . gr save FELPredInt.gph, replace
      (file FELPredInt.gph saved)

116 . gr export FELPredInt.eps, replace
      (file FELPredInt.eps written in EPS format)

117 . gr use FELPredInt.gph

118 .
119 .
120 .
121 .
122 .
123 . regress WHPel age marrw11-marrw16 marrw21 marrw23-marrw26 ///
>    BSIposymp BSIanx BSIips phlthw3 mhlthw1 ///
>    PTSDw1 PTSDw2 WHPpain whpsociso WHPsleep ///
>    HP2pbfhm ecprw3 crhtw1 crhtw2 goferw2 ///
>    crhtw3 icdx3nr1 icdx4nr17 icdx5nr17 ///
>    if gender==2, vce(cluster id)

```

Linear regression

Number of obs =	361
F(29, 360) =	.
Prob > F =	.
R-squared =	0.6085
Root MSE =	22.553

(Std. Err. adjusted for 361 clusters in id)

WHPel	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age	-.212877	.1726229	-1.23	0.218	-.5523529	.1265989
marrw11	17.88026	5.258447	3.40	0.001	7.539127	28.22139
marrw12	31.46741	10.71814	2.94	0.004	10.38938	52.54544
marrw13	16.03725	5.467654	2.93	0.004	5.284694	26.7898
marrw14	-3.317784	13.24666	-0.25	0.802	-29.36833	22.73277
marrw15	25.88531	11.23619	2.30	0.022	3.788503	47.98211
marrw16	5.456849	12.88541	0.42	0.672	-19.88328	30.79698
marrw21	-2.075267	5.80236	-0.36	0.721	-13.48605	9.335512
marrw23	5.465469	5.693588	0.96	0.338	-5.731402	16.66234
marrw24	33.05545	14.51577	2.28	0.023	4.509091	61.6018
marrw25	3.908074	8.637572	0.45	0.651	-13.07836	20.89451
marrw26	12.17646	11.93763	1.02	0.308	-11.2998	35.65271
BSIposymp	.5597333	.1363131	4.11	0.000	.2916633	.8278033
BSIanx	-1.776903	.6804479	-2.61	0.009	-3.115056	-.4387513
BSIips	-2.134538	.7148057	-2.99	0.003	-3.540257	-.7288186
phlthw3	-.4035284	.0782493	-5.16	0.000	-.5574117	-.2496452
mhlthw1	.1484583	.0600982	2.47	0.014	.0302708	.2666459
PTSDw1	.113965	.0430557	2.65	0.008	.0292929	.1986372
PTSDw2	-.3418776	.1264007	-2.70	0.007	-.590454	-.0933012
WHPpain	.2895208	.0847594	3.42	0.001	.1228351	.4562065
whpsociso	.3251524	.0840791	3.87	0.000	.1598044	.4905004
WHPsleep	.1371931	.0631875	2.17	0.031	.0129301	.2614562
HP2pbfhm	12.76826	4.768822	2.68	0.008	3.390011	22.14651
ecprw3	-.1131189	.0374951	-3.02	0.003	-.1868558	-.039382
crhtw1	-2.40462	2.099111	-1.15	0.253	-6.53268	1.723441
crhtw2	-.7576826	6.316829	-0.12	0.905	-13.1802	11.66484
goferw2	-.1392557	.0706704	-1.97	0.050	-.2782344	-.0002771
crhtw3	4.709302	5.624592	0.84	0.403	-6.351883	15.77049
icdx3nrl	14.07788	7.524196	1.87	0.062	-.719014	28.87478
icdx4nr17	20.77076	7.559287	2.75	0.006	5.904855	35.63667
icdx5nr17	90.87823	3.219395	28.23	0.000	84.54705	97.20941
_cons	9.454195	13.12864	0.72	0.472	-16.36427	35.27266

```

124 . di e(r2_a)
.5715698

125 .
126 . cap drop tfrelres

127 . rdiagrbst tfrelres
(option xb assumed; fitted values)
(2 missing values generated)
yvar = WHPel
age marrw11 marrw12 marrw13 marrw14 marrw15 marrw16 marrw21 marrw23 marrw24 ma
> rrw25 marrw26 BSIposymp BSIanx BSIips phlthw3 mhlthw1 PTSDw1 PTSDw2 WHPpain
> whpsociso WHPsleep HP2pbfhm ecprw3 crhtw1 crhtw2 goferw2 crhtw3 icdx3nr1 icd
> x4nr17 icdx5nr17 _cons
245
239
xvar = ,age marrw11 marrw12 marrw13 marrw14 marrw15 marrw16 marrw21 marrw23 ma
> rrw24 marrw25 marrw26 BSIposymp BSIanx BSIips phlthw3 mhlthw1 PTSDw1 PTSDw2
> WHPpain whpsociso WHPsleep HP2pbfhm ecprw3 crhtw1 crhtw2 goferw2 crhtw3 icdx
> 3nr1 icdx4nr17 ic

```

	Problems
POuts	0
NOuts	0
Badouts	0
Collin	0
SW	0
KS	0
Spec	0
Strbrk	0
Coltot	0
Pcttot	0

Outlier diagnostics

Fitted values			
Percentiles	Smallest		
1%	-7.377561	-21.2304	
5%	-3.453589	-15.93767	
10%	-.2048513	-15.85308	Obs 700
25%	8.5894	-14.11985	Sum of Wgt. 700

50%	21.69815		Mean	27.43268
		Largest	Std. Dev.	24.71714
75%	41.58249	100.226		
90%	64.023	103.9949	Variance	610.9372
95%	77.54239	106.3622	Skewness	.8565848
99%	97.86816	107.7452	Kurtosis	3.260528

(2 missing values generated)
(175 real changes made)
(175 real changes made)
(175 real changes made)
(175 real changes made)
(176 real changes made)
(176 real changes made)
(176 real changes made)
(176 real changes made)

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000001	702	.2492877	.4329092	0	1

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000003	702	.2492877	.4329092	0	1

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000005	702	.2507123	.4337322	0	1

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
__000007	702	.2507123	.4337322	0	1

.18741034

.1881236

Split sample analysis

There are 4 subsamples of equal sample size.

Variance 1 = .18741034

Variance 2 = .18741034

Variance 3 = .1881236

Variance 4 = .1881236

Minimum variance = .18741034

Maximum variance = .1881236

Computing Box's F test as indication of structural break:

```
for segment 1 Box's F = 1
for segment 2 Box's F = 1
```

```
for segment 3 Box's F = 1.0038059
for segment 4 Box's F = 1.0038059
```

There appears to be no structural break.

obs:	tfrelre-d
490.	-1.968799
500.	-1.754667
645.	-1.751244
290.	-1.681122
649.	-1.590359

308.	2.93591
363.	2.945053
6.	3.097534
146.	3.193312
21.	3.249262

note: 2 values of 2.93591

There are 0 positive outliers

There are 33 negative outliers:

	id	tfrelre-d
59.	516	-1.300106
123.	565	-1.268833
124.	232	-1.327896
158.	650	-1.378309
197.	554	-1.302287
290.	169	-1.681122
460.	598	-1.260846
478.	724	-1.315777
484.	544	-1.363878
485.	581	-1.303098
486.	450	-1.580783
490.	670	-1.968799
500.	120	-1.754667
504.	428	-1.336152
519.	540	-1.353508
526.	485	-1.358854

534.	741	-1.322268
541.	168	-1.391097
547.	731	-1.301681
560.	432	-1.284306
605.	662	-1.277819
634.	732	-1.393212
645.	117	-1.751244
649.	237	-1.590359
652.	194	-1.321592
664.	214	-1.413028
666.	556	-1.40366
668.	166	-1.287158
672.	270	-1.26096
680.	719	-1.264942
682.	508	-1.311153
688.	200	-1.27386
702.	445	-1.284725

(702 real changes made, 702 to missing)

0

33

(2 real changes made)

35

outlier

Percentiles	Smallest			
1%	0	0		
5%	0	0		
10%	0	0	Obs	702
25%	0	0	Sum of Wgt.	702
50%	0		Mean	.002849
		Largest	Std. Dev.	.053338
75%	0	0		
90%	0	0	Variance	.0028449
95%	0	1	Skewness	18.65483
99%	0	1	Kurtosis	349.0029

(351 real changes made)

<u>0</u> 00000N	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	351	50.00	50.00
1	351	50.00	100.00
Total	702	100.00	

Stem-and-leaf plot for tfrelresstd

tfrelresstd rounded to nearest multiple of .01
 plot in units of .01

-19*	7
-18*	
-17*	55
-16*	8
-15*	98
-14*	10
-13*	9986654322210000
-12*	98887766655554333221110000
-11*	999988754444444333222211100
-10*	99988887777766654333332221111100
-9*	987776655433220000
-8*	99988887766665554444333332221100000
-7*	9998877766655554443321110000
-6*	9998877666665444333221111110000
-5*	9988888877655555443332222221110000
-4*	98776555544333221111111000000
-3*	999988887777666655543322111100
-2*	98888777766555443332210
-1*	9998777655333332222000
-0*	99988888877754433322111
0*	00233333444556666777889
1*	11112344445566666889
2*	00011133344444556789
3*	0234566666667889
4*	0011112222344445566677789
5*	334445556666889
6*	0112345567778999
7*	00124555778889
8*	00022233445667789
9*	2344455588
10*	000013448
11*	00111889
12*	000112256778
13*	3445689
14*	00334488
15*	013556888
16*	001157

17*	0123379
18*	2344566
19*	114
20*	024789
21*	1257
22*	178
23*	11233468
24*	24
25*	02
26*	9
27*	479
28*	37
29*	445
30*	
31*	09
32*	5

Computing the leverage h

tfrelresstd			
Percentiles			Smallest
1%	-1.408344		-1.968799
5%	-1.249589		-1.754667
10%	-1.118152	-1.751244	Obs 700
25%	-.7623567	-1.681122	Sum of Wgt. 700
50%	-.2320062		Mean 4.79e-11
		Largest	Std. Dev. 1
75%	.5724695	2.945053	
90%	1.480362	3.097534	Variance 1
95%	2.027326	3.193312	Skewness .8565848
99%	2.849661	3.249262	Kurtosis 3.260528

standard error of skewness= .09231881
 (702 real changes made, 702 to missing)

```
t test for skewness = .
badout= 1
Positive outliers are :
  0
Negative outliers are:
```

	id
59.	516
123.	565
124.	232
158.	650
197.	554
290.	169
460.	598
478.	724
484.	544
485.	581
486.	450
490.	670
500.	120
504.	428
519.	540
526.	485
534.	741
541.	168
547.	731
560.	432
605.	662
634.	732
645.	117
649.	237
652.	194
664.	214
666.	556
668.	166
672.	270
680.	719
682.	508
688.	200
702.	445

multicollinearity tests:

Variable	VIF	1/VIF
marrw13	20.80	0.048083
marrw11	18.61	0.053748
marrw23	13.74	0.072806
crhtw2	13.06	0.076589
crhtw3	10.54	0.094838
marrw21	10.16	0.098422
BSIposymp	8.22	0.121623
marrw26	4.64	0.215496
BSIanx	4.10	0.244091
marrw25	3.90	0.256175
marrw15	3.16	0.316921
BSIips	3.02	0.331209
age	2.80	0.356656
marrw16	2.77	0.360852
crhtw1	2.71	0.368638
marrw12	2.45	0.407857
marrw14	2.38	0.420029
WHPpain	2.19	0.456198
WHPsleep	1.99	0.501959
marrw24	1.81	0.551887
phlthw3	1.75	0.571649
whpsociso	1.59	0.629227
HP2pbfhm	1.47	0.678551
ecprw3	1.29	0.777340
PTSDw1	1.27	0.787787
goferw2	1.22	0.817388
icdx4nr17	1.22	0.822270
PTSDw2	1.22	0.822666
mhlthw1	1.19	0.839684
icdx3nr1	1.12	0.895759
icdx5nr17	1.02	0.976892
Mean VIF	4.76	

Condition number using scaled variables = **58.94**

Condition Indexes and Variance-Decomposition Proportions

condition

	index	_cons	age	marrw11	marrw12	marrw13	marrw14	marrw15
>	marrw16	marrw21	marrw23	marrw24	marrw25	marrw26		
1	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	2.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	2.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.06
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.00		
4	2.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.02
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.01	0.00		
5	2.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00
>	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.04		
6	2.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
7	2.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
8	3.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01		
9	3.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
10	3.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00		
11	3.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00		
12	3.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01		
13	4.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
14	4.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
15	4.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00
>	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
16	4.76	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.00
>	0.10	0.02	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.06		
17	5.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00
>	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.01		
18	5.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.06	0.02
>	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.03	0.01		
19	5.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.06
>	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.03		
20	5.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.05
>	0.15	0.01	0.00	0.21	0.05	0.11		
21	6.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.27
>	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.00		
22	6.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00		
23	7.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

24	10.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00		
25	14.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
26	16.30	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00		
27	17.10	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00
>	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01		
28	20.64	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.01
>	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01		
29	25.82	0.00	0.35	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.43	0.51	0.07	0.29	0.42		
30	31.38	0.00	0.43	0.50	0.15	0.71	0.21	0.32
>	0.36	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.07	0.05		
31	36.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.04		
32	58.94	0.99	0.10	0.34	0.31	0.22	0.06	0.09
>	0.11	0.27	0.30	0.04	0.13	0.17		

condition

	index	BSIposymp	BSIanx	BSIips	phlthw3	mhlthw1	PTSDw1	PTSDw2
>	WHPpain	whpsociso	WHPsleep	HP2pbfhm	ecprw3	crhtw1		
1	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
2	2.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02		
3	2.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
4	2.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
5	2.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00		
6	2.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
>	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03		
7	2.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00		
8	3.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
>	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00		
9	3.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
10	3.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02
>	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.11	0.00	0.00		
11	3.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.40
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
12	3.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.03		
13	4.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07		
14	4.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.12

	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.20	0.00	0.07	
15	4.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30 0.21
>	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.01	
16	4.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02 0.02
>	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	
17	5.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05 0.08
>	0.01	0.10	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	
18	5.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05 0.00
>	0.06	0.40	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.03	
19	5.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01 0.00
>	0.02	0.13	0.26	0.11	0.05	0.08	
20	5.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	
21	6.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01 0.01
>	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.11	0.01	0.16	
22	6.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02 0.00
>	0.46	0.00	0.18	0.06	0.11	0.08	
23	7.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00
>	0.10	0.01	0.33	0.00	0.66	0.01	
24	10.21	0.01	0.05	0.12	0.04	0.02	0.05 0.01
>	0.08	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.05	0.11	
25	14.37	0.00	0.27	0.38	0.01	0.01	0.03 0.01
>	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	
26	16.30	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.36	0.03	0.00 0.00
>	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.07	
27	17.10	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.41	0.00	0.00 0.01
>	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.08	
28	20.64	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.83	0.00 0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.08	
29	25.82	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00 0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	
30	31.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00 0.00
>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	
31	36.53	0.95	0.58	0.46	0.01	0.00	0.00 0.03
>	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	
32	58.94	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.07	0.00 0.00
>	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.01	

condition	index	crhtw2	goferw2	crhtw3	icdx3nr1	icdx4nr17	icdx5nr17
>							
1	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	2.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	2.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	2.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01
5	2.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
6	2.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.01
7	2.94	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.18	0.00
8	3.26	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.20	0.04	0.07
9	3.42	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.57
10	3.63	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.12	0.02	0.19
11	3.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.10
12	3.85	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.18	0.15	0.03
13	4.18	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.06	0.26	0.00
14	4.44	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.00
15	4.67	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.05	0.03	0.00
16	4.76	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.13	0.00
17	5.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00
18	5.22	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
19	5.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	5.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.00
21	6.24	0.00	0.14	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
22	6.87	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00
23	7.96	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
24	10.21	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00
25	14.37	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	16.30	0.44	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	17.10	0.48	0.00	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	20.64	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	25.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
30	31.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31	36.53	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01
32	58.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Residual normality tests:

Shapiro-Wilk W test for normal data

Variable	Obs	W	V	z	Prob>z
tfrelresstd	700	0.94137	26.737	8.017	0.00000

Skewness/Kurtosis tests for Normality

Variable	Obs	Pr(Skewness)	Pr(Kurtosis)	adj	joint	
					chi2(2)	Prob>chi2
tfrelresstd	700	0.0000	0.1598		55.03	0.0000

Jarque-Bera normality test: **87.58** Chi(2) **9.6e-20**
 Jarque-Bera test for Ho: normality:
 (file qntfrelresstd.gph saved)
 (file bxtfrelresstd.gph saved)
 (bin=26, start=-1.9687988, width=.20069465)
 (file histtfrelresstd.gph saved)
 (file kdtfrelresstd.gph saved)
 (file combi4.gph saved)

Specification error tests:

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of WHPel

Ho: model has no omitted variables

F(3, 326) =	1.12
Prob > F =	0.3402

Assessment of fulfillment of cross-sectional statistical regression assumption

> s:

Caveat: This score is a heuristic only as these scores are not strictly additive

Regression model assumption test result matrix

	Problems=1
Positive-outliers	0
Negative-outliers	33
Bad-outliers	1
collinearity	1
Shapiro-Wilk	1
Kolmogorov-Smirnov	1
Specification	0
StructuralBreak	0
TotalProbs	3

Percent-Invalid	60
Pct-Pseudo-Valid	40

```
Problem type total score = Bad-Outliers+collinearity + (SW + KS)/2 + Spec + st
> rbrk
Percent_Valid = 100 - (percent of violated assumptions)
```

Legend:

PosOutliers = positive outlier issue
 NegOutliers = negative outlier issue
 Badoutliers = Number of outliers with bad leverage
 collinearity = Collinearity problem when condition number > 20
 SW = Significant Shapiro-Wilk test
 KS = Significant Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
 Spec = Significant Ramset23 reset test
 strbrk = Existence of structural break in residual variance between 4 sample s
 > egments
 Column total = the total number of counted problems in the column vector
 Percent invalid: Percent of 6 regression assumptions violated
 Percent Pseudo-Validity = percent of regression model assumptions not violate
 > d

```
128 .
129 . title "Female Energy level indirect effects test"
```

```
*****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *          Female Energy level indirect effects test      *****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *
*****
> *          13 Jul 2012      12:44:07      *****
> *
*****
> *
```

```
*****
> *

130 . set more off

131 . foreach var in age marrw11-marrw16 marrw21 marrw23-marrw26 ///
>     BSIposymp BSIanx BSIips phlthw3 mhlthw1 ///
>     PTSDw1 PTSDw2 WHPpain whpsociso WHPsleep ///
>     HP2pbfhm ecprw3 goferw2 ///
>     icdx3nr1 icdx4nr17 icdx5nr17 {
    2. sem (crhtw1-> `var')(`var'-> whpel) if gender==2, nocapslatent iterate(50
> )
    3. sem (crhtw2-> `var')(`var'-> whpel) if gender==2, nocapslatent iterate(50
> )
    4. sem (crhtw3-> `var')(`var'-> whpel) if gender==2, nocapslatent iterate(50
> )
    5. }
(1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)
```

Endogenous variables

Observed: **age whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

```
Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -3660.9619
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -3660.9619
```

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	362
Estimation method	=	ml	
Log likelihood	=	-3660.9619	

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
age <-						
crhtw1	3.218873	.6364183	5.06	0.000	1.971516	4.46623
_cons	49.84232	.6063362	82.20	0.000	48.65393	51.03072
whpel <-						
age	.9722374	.1441868	6.74	0.000	.6896365	1.254838
_cons	-16.95021	7.446039	-2.28	0.023	-31.54418	-2.356242
Variance						
e.age	130.49	9.699243			112.7997	150.9546
e.whpel	1051.456	78.15408			908.9115	1216.355

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **0.07**, Prob > chi2 = **0.7920**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **age whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3639.0598**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3639.0598**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method	= ml		
Log likelihood	= -3639.0598		

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
age <-						
crhtw2	3.897443	.6851925	5.69	0.000	2.554491	5.240396
_cons	49.54513	.6075589	81.55	0.000	48.35434	50.73593
whpel <-						
age	.9770812	.1434361	6.81	0.000	.6959517	1.258211
_cons	-17.2271	7.400508	-2.33	0.020	-31.73183	-2.722368

Variance					
e.age	128.9608	9.57237		111.5002	149.1557
e.whpel	1048.966	77.86154		906.9413	1213.231

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 7.01, Prob > chi2 = 0.0081

Endogenous variables

Observed: age whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtw3

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -3648.637

Iteration 1: log likelihood = -3648.637

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3648.637			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
age <-						
crhtw3	3.646918	.6723377	5.42	0.000	2.32916	4.964675
_cons	49.58731	.6093473	81.38	0.000	48.39301	50.78161
whpel <-						
age	.9770812	.1434361	6.81	0.000	.6959517	1.258211
_cons	-17.2271	7.400508	-2.33	0.020	-31.73183	-2.722368
Variance						
e.age	129.9244	9.643895			112.3333	150.2702
e.whpel	1048.966	77.86154			906.9413	1213.231

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 11.23, Prob > chi2 = 0.0008

(1 observations with missing values excluded;
specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: marrw11 marrw12 marrw13 marrw14 marrw15 marrw16 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1571.6203**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1571.6203**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **362**
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-1571.6203**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
marrw11 <- crhtw1 _cons	-.1187747 .3804485	.0260794 .0248467	-4.55 15.31	0.000 0.000	-.1698894 .3317499	-.06766 .4291471
marrw12 <- crhtw1 _cons	.004374 .0104676	.0058194 .0055443	0.75 1.89	0.452 0.059	-.0070318 -.0003991	.0157799 .0213343
marrw13 <- crhtw1 _cons	.0728941 .5648842	.0272769 .0259876	2.67 21.74	0.008 0.000	.0194324 .5139495	.1263559 .615819
marrw14 <- crhtw1 _cons	.0103777 .0069061	.0050212 .0047838	2.07 1.44	0.039 0.149	.0005363 -.00247	.020219 .0162823
marrw15 <- crhtw1 _cons	.0090248 .0126111	.0064849 .0061784	1.39 2.04	0.164 0.041	-.0036854 .0005016	.0217351 .0247205
marrw16 <- crhtw1 _cons	.0131315 .0120645	.0064655 .0061599	2.03 1.96	0.042 0.050	.0004593 -8.73e-06	.0258037 .0241377
whpel <- marrw11 marrw12 marrw13 marrw14 marrw15 marrw16	21.28485 65.8 37.30769 61.6 52.64 55.2	14.95969 22.02624 14.85961 23.97913 20.76654 20.76654	1.42 2.99 2.51 2.57 2.53 2.66	0.155 0.003 0.012 0.010 0.011 0.008	-8.035596 22.62936 8.183401 14.60176 11.93833 14.49833	50.60529 108.9706 66.43198 108.5982 93.34167 95.90167

_cons	-8.23e-12	14.68416	-0.00	1.000	-28.78043	28.78043
Variance						
e.marrw11	.2191225	.0162872			.1894164	.2534873
e.marrw12	.0109106	.000811			.0094315	.0126217
e.marrw13	.239708	.0178174			.2072112	.2773012
e.marrw14	.0081228	.0006038			.0070216	.0093967
e.marrw15	.0135489	.0010071			.0117121	.0156738
e.marrw16	.0134679	.0010011			.0116421	.0155801
e.whpel	1078.123	80.13625			931.9637	1247.204

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(16) = 1051.03, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Endogenous variables

Observed: marrw11 marrw12 marrw13 marrw14 marrw15 marrw16 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtw2

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -1529.2263

Iteration 1: log likelihood = -1529.2263

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method	= ml		
Log likelihood	= -1529.2263		

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
marrw11 <-						
crhtw2	-.1780895	.0274785	-6.48	0.000	-.2319465	-.1242325
_cons	.3942395	.0243652	16.18	0.000	.3464847	.4419944
marrw12 <-						
crhtw2	.0032838	.0062964	0.52	0.602	-.0090569	.0156245
_cons	.010455	.005583	1.87	0.061	-.0004875	.0213975
marrw13 <-						
crhtw2	.1269521	.0290919	4.36	0.000	.069933	.1839711
_cons	.5511871	.0257957	21.37	0.000	.5006284	.6017458
marrw14 <-						
crhtw2	.0111773	.0054309	2.06	0.040	.000533	.0218217

<code>_cons</code>	.0063437	.0048155	1.32	0.188	-.0030946	.015782
<code>marrw15 <- crhtw2</code>	.0084462	.0070184	1.20	0.229	-.0053096	.0222021
<code>_cons</code>	.0123227	.0062232	1.98	0.048	.0001254	.02452
<code>marrw16 <- crhtw2</code>	.0131163	.0069986	1.87	0.061	-.0006008	.0268333
<code>_cons</code>	.0115202	.0062057	1.86	0.063	-.0006427	.0236831
<code>whpel <- marrw11</code>	21.28485	13.68712	1.56	0.120	-5.541413	48.11111
<code>marrw12</code>	65.8	21.16555	3.11	0.002	24.31629	107.2837
<code>marrw13</code>	37.30769	13.57797	2.75	0.006	10.69537	63.92002
<code>marrw14</code>	61.6	23.1857	2.66	0.008	16.15687	107.0431
<code>marrw15</code>	52.64	19.85504	2.65	0.008	13.72483	91.55517
<code>marrw16</code>	55.2	19.85504	2.78	0.005	16.28483	94.11517
<code>_cons</code>	2.54e-12	13.38627	0.00	1.000	-26.2366	26.2366
Variance						
<code>e.marrw11</code>	.2074054	.0153951			.1793238	.2398845
<code>e.marrw12</code>	.0108897	.0008083			.0094153	.012595
<code>e.marrw13</code>	.2324749	.0172559			.2009991	.2688798
<code>e.marrw14</code>	.0081016	.0006014			.0070047	.0093703
<code>e.marrw15</code>	.0135304	.0010043			.0116985	.0156492
<code>e.marrw16</code>	.0134542	.0009987			.0116326	.0155611
<code>e.whpel</code>	1075.153	79.80534			929.5829	1243.519

LR test of model vs. saturated: `chi2(16) = 988.89`, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Endogenous variables

Observed: `marrw11 marrw12 marrw13 marrw14 marrw15 marrw16 whpel`

Exogenous variables

Observed: `crhtw3`

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -1540.049

Iteration 1: log likelihood = -1540.049

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method = <code>ml</code>			
Log likelihood = -1540.049			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
marrw11 <- crhtw3 _cons	-.1667185 .3923254	.0269915 .0244627	-6.18 16.04	0.000 0.000	-.219621 .3443793	-.1138161 .4402715
marrw12 <- crhtw3 _cons	.0028914 .0105217	.0061557 .005579	0.47 1.89	0.639 0.059	-.0091736 -.000413	.0149564 .0214564
marrw13 <- crhtw3 _cons	.1182275 .5526581	.028509 .025838	4.15 21.39	0.000 0.000	.062351 .5020165	.1741041 .6032996
marrw14 <- crhtw3 _cons	.0103122 .0064899	.0053126 .0048148	1.94 1.35	0.052 0.178	-.0001003 -.002947	.0207247 .0159269
marrw15 <- crhtw3 _cons	.007645 .0124585	.0068631 .0062201	1.11 2.00	0.265 0.045	-.0058064 .0002673	.0210965 .0246497
marrw16 <- crhtw3 _cons	.014131 .0113424	.0068347 .0061944	2.07 1.83	0.039 0.067	.0007353 -.0007983	.0275268 .0234832
whpel <- marrw11 marrw12 marrw13 marrw14 marrw15 marrw16 _cons	21.28485 65.8 37.30769 61.6 52.64 55.2 2.78e-12	13.68712 21.16555 13.57797 23.1857 19.85504 19.85504 13.38627	1.56 3.11 2.75 2.66 2.65 2.78 0.00	0.120 0.002 0.006 0.008 0.008 0.005 1.000	-5.541413 24.31629 10.69537 16.15687 13.72483 16.28483 -26.2366	48.11111 107.2837 63.92002 107.0431 91.55517 94.11517 26.2366
Variance						
e.marrw11 e.marrw12 e.marrw13 e.marrw14 e.marrw15 e.marrw16 e.whpel	.2093971 .0108912 .2336032 .008112 .0135381 .0134263 1075.153	.0155429 .0008084 .0173397 .0006021 .0010049 .0009966 79.80534			.1810459 .0094166 .2019745 .0070136 .0117051 .0116084 929.5829	.2421881 .0125968 .2701848 .0093823 .0156581 .0155288 1243.519

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(16) = 994.91, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
(1 observations with missing values excluded;

specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **marrw21 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2436.3707**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2436.3707**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **362**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-2436.3707**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
marrw21 <- crhtw1	-.0451039	.0209893	-2.15	0.032	-.0862423	-.0039656
_cons	.180036	.0199972	9.00	0.000	.1408421	.2192298
whpel <- marrw21	-21.95534	4.627379	-4.74	0.000	-31.02484	-12.88585
_cons	35.74582	1.930417	18.52	0.000	31.96227	39.52937
Variance						
e.marrw21	.1419351	.0105499			.1226932	.1641946
e.whpel	1114.226	82.81979			963.1725	1288.97

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **1.98**, Prob > chi2 = **0.1589**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **marrw21 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2414.0259**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2414.0259**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-2414.0259**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
marrw21 <- crhtw2 _cons	-.0594243 .1865201	.0227809 .0201997	-2.61 9.23	0.009 0.000	-.104074 .1469294	-.0147747 .2261109
whpel <- marrw21 _cons	-22.17082 35.74582	4.592151 1.928203	-4.83 18.54	0.000 0.000	-31.17127 31.96661	-13.17037 39.52503
Variance						
e.marrw21 e.whpel	.1425517 1111.673	.0105812 82.51607			.123251 961.158	.1648749 1285.757

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **14.15**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0002**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **marrw21 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2420.6473**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2420.6473**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-2420.6473**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
marrw21 <- crhtw3 _cons	-.0704234	.0221722	-3.18	0.001	-.11388	-.0269667
	.188427	.0200949	9.38	0.000	.1490417	.2278123
whpel <- marrw21 _cons	-22.17082	4.592151	-4.83	0.000	-31.17127	-13.17037
	35.74582	1.928203	18.54	0.000	31.96661	39.52503
Variance						
e.marrw21	.141297	.010488			.1221661	.1634237
e.whpel	1111.673	82.51607			961.158	1285.757

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **17.92**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**
 (1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **marrw23 marrw24 marrw25 marrw26 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1765.7052**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1765.7052**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	362
Estimation method	= ml		
Log likelihood	= -1765.7052		

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
marrw23 <- crhtw1 _cons	-.0026194 .7241055	.0249109 .0237334	-0.11 30.51	0.916 0.000	-.0514439 .6775889	.046205 .7706222
marrw24 <- crhtw1 _cons	.0034592 .002302	.0029185 .0027805	1.19 0.83	0.236 0.408	-.0022609 -.0031477	.0091794 .0077518
marrw25 <- crhtw1 _cons	.0146708 .0284342	.0095319 .0090813	1.54 3.13	0.124 0.002	-.0040113 .0106351	.033353 .0462333
marrw26 <- crhtw1 _cons	.0231671 .043878	.0117233 .0111692	1.98 3.93	0.048 0.000	.0001899 .0219869	.0461443 .0657692
whpel <- marrw23 marrw24 marrw25 marrw26 _cons	17.82802 83.68451 27.97542 34.60215 16.31549	4.433018 33.36524 10.7359 8.946329 3.932131	4.02 2.51 2.61 3.87 4.15	0.000 0.012 0.009 0.000 0.000	9.139463 18.28984 6.933432 17.06767 8.608657	26.51657 149.0792 49.0174 52.13664 24.02233
Variance						
e.marrw23 e.marrw24 e.marrw25 e.marrw26 e.whpel	.1999267 .0027442 .0292718 .0442783 1097.778	.0148604 .000204 .0021758 .0032912 81.59717			.172823 .0023721 .0253035 .0382756 948.9538	.2312811 .0031745 .0338625 .0512224 1269.941

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(7) = 98.07, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Endogenous variables

Observed: marrw23 marrw24 marrw25 marrw26 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtw2

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1739.2373**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1739.2373** (backed up)

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
 Estimation method = **m1**
 Log likelihood = **-1739.2373**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
marrw23 <- crhtw2 _cons	.0061114 .7207129	.027037 .0239736	0.23 30.06	0.821 0.000	-.0468801 .6737255	.0591029 .7677003
marrw24 <- crhtw2 _cons	.0037258 .0021146	.0031565 .0027988	1.18 0.76	0.238 0.450	-.0024608 -.003371	.0099123 .0076002
marrw25 <- crhtw2 _cons	.0205314 .0267749	.0102867 .0091212	2.00 2.94	0.046 0.003	.0003699 .0088977	.0406929 .044652
marrw26 <- crhtw2 _cons	.0254357 .042461	.0126778 .0112414	2.01 3.78	0.045 0.000	.0005876 .0204283	.0502838 .0644938
whpel <- marrw23 marrw24 marrw25 marrw26 _cons	18.05462 83.91111 28.20202 34.82876 16.08889	4.404107 33.32704 10.71465 8.924951 3.900635	4.10 2.52 2.63 3.90 4.12	0.000 0.012 0.008 0.000 0.000	9.422731 18.59132 7.201692 17.33618 8.443785	26.68651 149.2309 49.20235 52.32134 23.73399
Variance						
e.marrw23 e.marrw24 e.marrw25 e.marrw26 e.whpel	.2007929 .0027367 .0290658 .0441492 1095.477	.0149042 .0002031 .0021575 .0032771 81.3139			.1736066 .0023662 .0251304 .0381716 947.1548	.2322365 .0031653 .0336174 .0510628 1267.025

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(7) = **109.89**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **marrw23 marrw24 marrw25 marrw26 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1747.5171**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1747.5171**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-1747.5171**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
marrw23 <- crhtw3 _cons	.0198638 .7183449	.0264125 .0239379	0.75 30.01	0.452 0.000	-.0319037 .6714275	.0716312 .7652623
marrw24 <- crhtw3 _cons	.0034374 .0021633	.0030864 .0027972	1.11 0.77	0.265 0.439	-.0026118 -.0033191	.0094866 .0076458
marrw25 <- crhtw3 _cons	.0191461 .0270083	.0100611 .0091185	1.90 2.96	0.057 0.003	-.0005733 .0091363	.0388656 .0448803
marrw26 <- crhtw3 _cons	.0245218 .0426122	.0123957 .0112343	1.98 3.79	0.048 0.000	.0002267 .0205934	.0488168 .0646311
whpel <- marrw23 marrw24 marrw25 marrw26 _cons	18.05462 83.91111 28.20202 34.82876 16.08889	4.404107 33.32704 10.71465 8.924951 3.900635	4.10 2.52 2.63 3.90 4.12	0.000 0.012 0.008 0.000 0.000	9.422731 18.59132 7.201692 17.33618 8.443785	26.68651 149.2309 49.20235 52.32134 23.73399
Variance						
e.marrw23 e.marrw24 e.marrw25 e.marrw26 e.whpel	.2005087 .0027379 .0290945 .0441626 1095.477	.0148832 .0002032 .0021596 .0032781 81.3139			.1733609 .0023672 .0251553 .0381832 947.1548	.2319078 .0031666 .0336506 .0510783 1267.025

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(7) = **115.66**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

(1 observations with missing values excluded;
specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIposymp whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtwl**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3937.6796**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3937.6796**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **362**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3937.6796**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
>	—						
>	1]						
>	—						
	Structural						
	BSIposymp <-						
>	crhtwl	1.618649	1.561776	1.04	0.300	-1.442375	4.6796
>	73						
	_cons	86.38402	1.487954	58.06	0.000	83.46769	89.300
>	36						
>	—						
	whpel <-						
	BSIposymp	.6926561	.0531297	13.04	0.000	.5885237	.79678
>	84						
	_cons	-28.05877	4.836741	-5.80	0.000	-37.53861	-18.578
>	93						
>	—						
	Variance						
	e.BSIposymp	785.831	58.41036			679.2972	909.07
>	24						
	e.whpel	805.3783	59.8633			696.1945	931.68
>	53						
>	—						

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **2.45**, Prob > chi2 = **0.1173**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIposymp whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3901.8578**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3901.8578**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**

Estimation method = **ml**

Log likelihood = **-3901.8578**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
>	—						
>	1]						
>	—						
	Structural						
>	BSIposymp <- crhtw2	9.803972	1.614914	6.07	0.000	6.638798	12.969
>	15						
>	_cons	84.82216	1.431941	59.24	0.000	82.0156	87.628
>	71						
>	—						
	whpel <- BSIposymp	.6936681	.0529589	13.10	0.000	.5898706	.79746
>	57						
>	_cons	-28.17016	4.816795	-5.85	0.000	-37.6109	-18.729
>	41						
>	—						
	Variance						
>	e.BSIposymp	716.3602	53.17324			619.3688	828.54
>	01						
>	e.whpel	803.3644	59.6313			694.5932	929.1
>	69						
>	—						
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 1.42 , Prob > chi2 = 0.2327							

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIposymp whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3904.237**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3904.237**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3904.237**

		OIM						
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva		
>	—							
>	1]							
>	—							
	Structural							
>	87	BSIposymp <- crhtw3	10.97906	1.553505	7.07	0.000	7.934242	14.023
>	15	_cons	84.6176	1.407959	60.10	0.000	81.85805	87.377
>	—							
>	57	whpel <- BSIposymp	.6936681	.0529589	13.10	0.000	.5898706	.79746
>	41	_cons	-28.17016	4.816795	-5.85	0.000	-37.6109	-18.729
>	—							
	Variance							
>	48	e.BSIposymp	693.651	51.48761			599.7344	802.27
>	69	e.whpel	803.3644	59.6313			694.5932	929.1
>	—							
	LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 1.73 , Prob > chi2 = 0.1888							
	(1 observations with missing values excluded;							

specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIanz whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3236.202**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3236.202**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **362**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3236.202**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSIanz <- crhtw1	-.4122699	.2021768	-2.04	0.041	-.8085292	-.0160107
_cons	9.043819	.1926204	46.95	0.000	8.66629	9.421348
whpel <- BSIanz						
	3.743315	.4546813	8.23	0.000	2.852156	4.634474
_cons	-1.72361	4.411148	-0.39	0.696	-10.3693	6.92208
Variance						
e.BSIanz	13.16905	.9788479			11.38375	15.23435
e.whpel	996.8673	74.09656			861.7237	1153.205

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **8.72**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0032**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIanz whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3210.4548**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3210.4548**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-3210.4548**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSI anx <- crhtw2 _cons	.8193537 8.837162	.2160312 .1915544	3.79 46.13	0.000 0.000	.3959404 8.461723	1.242767 9.212602
whpel <- BSI anx _cons	3.757288 -1.895869	.4534895 4.395133	8.29 -0.43	0.000 0.666	2.868465 -10.51017	4.646111 6.718433
Variance						
e.BSI anx e.whpel	12.81933 994.9118	.9515401 73.84928			11.08366 860.206	14.8268 1150.712

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **9.33**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0023**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSI anx whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3214.6505**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3214.6505**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-3214.6505**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSIanz <- crhtw3 _cons	.9985733 8.806126	.2088589 .1892912	4.78 46.52	0.000 0.000	.5892175 8.435122	1.407929 9.17713
whpel <- BSIanz _cons	3.757288 -1.895869	.4534895 4.395133	8.29 -0.43	0.000 0.666	2.868465 -10.51017	4.646111 6.718433
Variance						
e.BSIanz e.whpel	12.53781 994.9118	.9306433 73.84928			10.84025 860.206	14.50119 1150.712

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **10.93**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0009**
 (1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIips whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3163.4298**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3163.4298**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	362
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3163.4298			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSIips <- crhtw1 _cons	.0596333 7.221345	.1640527 .1562983	0.36 46.20	0.716 0.000	-.261904 6.915006	.3811706 7.527684
whpel <- BSIips _cons	4.436424 -.1472969	.567935 4.433403	7.81 -0.03	0.000 0.973	3.323292 -8.836607	5.549556 8.542014
Variance						
e.BSIips	8.670784	.6444943			7.495301	10.03062
e.whpel	1012.798	75.28065			875.4943	1171.634

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **3.54**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0601**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIips whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3129.2301**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3129.2301**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3129.2301			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSIips <- crhtw2 _cons	.900549 7.065634	.171351 .1519366	5.26 46.50	0.000 0.000	.5647073 6.767844	1.236391 7.363424
whpel <- BSIips _cons	4.454413 -.3256686	.5664529 4.41734	7.86 -0.07	0.000 0.941	3.344186 -8.983497	5.564641 8.332159

Variance	e.BSIips	8.065025	.5986423	6.973063	9.327984
	e.whpel	1010.856	75.03273	873.991	1169.153

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **6.61**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0102**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **BSIips whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3131.7851**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3131.7851**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3131.7851			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
BSIips <-						
crhtw3	1.043259	.164915	6.33	0.000	.720032	1.366487
_cons	7.040861	.1494643	47.11	0.000	6.747916	7.333806
whpel <-						
BSIips	4.454413	.5664529	7.86	0.000	3.344186	5.564641
_cons	-.3256686	4.41734	-0.07	0.941	-8.983497	8.332159
Variance						
e.BSIips	7.816928	.5802268			6.758557	9.041036
e.whpel	1010.856	75.03273			873.991	1169.153

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **8.06**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0045**

(1 observations with missing values excluded;
specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **pchlthw3 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3827.6913**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3827.6913**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **362**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3827.6913**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
phlthw3 <- crhtw1 _cons	-.6606878 65.27854	1.114351 1.061678	-0.59 61.49	0.553 0.000	-2.844775 63.19769	1.523399 67.35939
whpel <- phlthw3 _cons	-.8966444 90.37766	.0770921 5.257136	-11.63 17.19	0.000 0.000	-1.047742 80.07386	-.7455466 100.6815
Variance						
e.phlthw3	400.0697	29.73694			345.8329	462.8123
e.whpel	861.5602	64.03927			744.76	996.6782

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **3.38**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0660**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **phlthw3 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3803.468**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3803.468**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3803.468**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
phlthw3 <- crhtw2 _cons	-4.171143 66.00328	1.190824 1.055901	-3.50 62.51	0.000 0.000	-6.505116 63.93375	-1.837171 68.07281
whpel <- phlthw3 _cons	-.8968138 90.38675	.0766671 5.236428	-11.70 17.26	0.000 0.000	-1.047078 80.12354	-.7465491 100.65
Variance						
e.phlthw3 e.whpel	389.5183 859.1881	28.91276 63.77493			336.7796 742.8586	450.5157 993.7345

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 8.37, Prob > chi2 = 0.0038

Endogenous variables

Observed: **phlthw3 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3810.4845**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3810.4845**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3810.4845			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
phlthw3 <- crhtw3 _cons	-4.460373 66.05405	1.16027 1.051566	-3.84 62.81	0.000 0.000	-6.73446 63.99301	-2.186286 68.11508
whpel <- phlthw3 _cons	-.8968138 90.38675	.0766671 5.236428	-11.70 17.26	0.000 0.000	-1.047078 80.12354	-.7465491 100.65

Variance					
e.phlthw3	386.9312	28.72073		334.5428	447.5235
e.whpel	859.1881	63.77493		742.8586	993.7345

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 11.38, Prob > chi2 = 0.0007
 (1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: mhlthw1 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtwl

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -3848.9829
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = -3848.9829

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	362
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3848.9829			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
mhlthw1 <-						
crhtwl	-2.428527	1.009204	-2.41	0.016	-4.40653	-.4505239
_cons	90.79282	.961501	94.43	0.000	88.90832	92.67733
whpel <-						
mhlthw1	-.0764311	.0989474	-0.77	0.440	-.2703645	.1175023
_cons	38.83956	9.132227	4.25	0.000	20.94072	56.73839
Variance						
e.mhlthw1	328.1328	24.38992			283.6484	379.5936
e.whpel	1181.569	87.82537			1021.386	1366.874

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 3.22, Prob > chi2 = 0.0725

Endogenous variables

Observed: mhlthw1 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3832.4931**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3832.4931**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3832.4931**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
mhlthw1 <- crhtw2 _cons	-.499656 90.58173	1.10026 .9755979	-0.45 92.85	0.650 0.000	-2.656125 88.66959	1.656813 92.49387
whpel <- mhlthw1 _cons	-.0788861 38.97578	.0988859 9.128735	-0.80 4.27	0.425 0.000	-.2726989 21.08379	.1149267 56.86777
Variance						
e.mhlthw1 e.whpel	332.5239 1180.986	24.68224 87.66101			287.5019 1021.087	384.5962 1365.925

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **18.07**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **mhlthw1 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3840.8133**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3840.8133**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3840.8133**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
mhlthw1 <- crhtw3 _cons	-.1438694 90.52062	1.075886 .9750875	-0.13 92.83	0.894 0.000	-2.252567 88.60949	1.964828 92.43176
whpel <- mhlthw1 _cons						
	-.0788861 38.97578	.0988859 9.128735	-0.80 4.27	0.425 0.000	-.2726989 21.08379	.1149267 56.86777
Variance						
e.mhlthw1 e.whpel	332.6964 1180.986	24.69504 87.66101			287.6511 1021.087	384.7957 1365.925

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **23.46**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**
 (1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **PTSDw1 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-4058.1648**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-4058.1648**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	362
Estimation method	=	ml	
Log likelihood	=	-4058.1648	

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
PTSDw1 <- crhtw1 _cons	1.423881 17.80774	1.849218 1.76181	0.77 10.11	0.441 0.000	-2.20052 14.35465	5.048282 21.26082
whpel <- PTSDw1 _cons	.2440754 27.53218	.0528974 1.998524	4.61 13.78	0.000 0.000	.1403985 23.61514	.3477523 31.44921
Variance						
e.PTSDw1 e.whpel	1101.712 1117.777	81.88962 83.08374			952.3549 966.2423	1274.493 1293.078

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 3.05, Prob > chi2 = 0.0808

Endogenous variables

Observed: PTSDw1 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtw2

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4039.1513

Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4039.1513

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -4039.1513			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
PTSDw1 <- crhtw2 _cons	2.116138 17.58402	1.999312 1.772786	1.06 9.92	0.290 0.000	-1.802441 14.10942	6.034717 21.05861
whpel <- PTSDw1 _cons	.2453114 27.43415	.0528524 1.994072	4.64 13.76	0.000 0.000	.1417226 23.52584	.3489001 31.34246

Variance						
e.PTSDw1	1097.978	81.49956			949.3175	1269.918
e.whpel	1116.779	82.89511			965.573	1291.663

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 17.08, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Endogenous variables

Observed: PTSDw1 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtw3

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4047.644

Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4047.644

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -4047.644			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
PTSDw1 <-						
crhtw3	1.496945	1.955951	0.77	0.444	-2.336648	5.330538
_cons	17.69006	1.7727	9.98	0.000	14.21563	21.16449
whpel <-						
PTSDw1	.2453114	.0528524	4.64	0.000	.1417226	.3489001
_cons	27.43415	1.994072	13.76	0.000	23.52584	31.34246
Variance						
e.PTSDw1	1099.592	81.61938			950.7132	1271.785
e.whpel	1116.779	82.89511			965.573	1291.663

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 23.04, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

(1 observations with missing values excluded;
specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: PTSDw2 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3560.3964**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3560.3964** (backed up)

Structural equation model Number of obs = **362**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3560.3964**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
PTSDw2 <- crhtw1 _cons	.7910495 3.409637	.4581632 .4365069	1.73 7.81	0.084 0.000	-.1069338 2.5541	1.689033 4.265175
whpel <- PTSDw2 _cons	.5355891 30.04231	.2171537 1.948832	2.47 15.42	0.014 0.000	.1099756 26.22267	.9612027 33.86195
Variance						
e.PTSDw2 e.whpel	67.62888 1163.958	5.026815 86.51628			58.46055 1006.162	78.23508 1346.5

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **2.81**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0938**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **PTSDw2 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3538.9042**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3538.9042**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3538.9042**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
PTSDw2 <- crhtw2 _cons	1.182857 3.30197	.4937807 .4378344	2.40 7.54	0.017 0.000	.2150646 2.443831	2.150649 4.16011
whpel <- PTSDw2 _cons	.5398533 29.9446	.2170316 1.945051	2.49 15.40	0.013 0.000	.1144791 26.13237	.9652275 33.75683
Variance						
e.PTSDw2 e.whpel	66.97316 1163.229	4.971214 86.34298			57.90535 1005.734	77.46096 1345.388

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **16.11**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0001**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **PTSDw2 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3546.3125**

Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3546.3125**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3546.3125			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
PTSDw2 <- crhtw3 _cons	1.310496 3.279723	.4816307 .4365073	2.72 7.51	0.007 0.000	.3665176 2.424184	2.254475 4.135262
whpel <- PTSDw2 _cons	.5398533 29.9446	.2170316 1.945051	2.49 15.40	0.013 0.000	.1144791 26.13237	.9652275 33.75683

Variance	e.PTSDw2	66.67208	4.948866	57.64504	77.11274
	e.whpel	1163.229	86.34298	1005.734	1345.388

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 20.86, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
 (1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: WHPpain whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtwl

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -3844.1582
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = -3844.1582

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	362
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3844.1582			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
WHPpain <-						
crhtwl	1.729479	1.239418	1.40	0.163	-.6997351	4.158694
_cons	17.83115	1.180833	15.10	0.000	15.51676	20.14554
whpel <-						
WHPpain	.9195424	.0650758	14.13	0.000	.7919961	1.047089
_cons	15.31671	1.867783	8.20	0.000	11.65592	18.9775
Variance						
e.WHPpain	494.9113	36.78647			427.817	572.5279
e.whpel	762.7898	56.69772			659.3797	882.4177

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 1.70, Prob > chi2 = 0.1921

Endogenous variables

Observed: WHPpain whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3816.5068**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3816.5068**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3816.5068**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
WHPpain <-						
crhtw2	5.721746	1.311307	4.36	0.000	3.151631	8.291861
_cons	17.02834	1.162733	14.65	0.000	14.74942	19.30725
whpel <-						
WHPpain	.9210712	.064955	14.18	0.000	.7937618	1.048381
_cons	15.24698	1.861745	8.19	0.000	11.59802	18.89593
Variance						
e.WHPpain	472.3255	35.05929			408.3752	546.2904
e.whpel	761.3318	56.51135			658.2515	880.5542

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **4.61**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0318**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **WHPpain whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3821.598**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3821.598**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3821.598**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
WHPpain <- crhtw3 _cons	6.442221 16.90299	1.270903 1.151834	5.07 14.67	0.000 0.000	3.951297 14.64544	8.933145 19.16054
whpel <- WHPpain _cons	.9210712 15.24698	.064955 1.861745	14.18 8.19	0.000 0.000	.7937618 11.59802	1.048381 18.89593
Variance						
e.WHPpain e.whpel	464.2378 761.3318	34.45896 56.51135			401.3825 658.2515	536.9361 880.5542

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 5.70, Prob > chi2 = 0.0170
 (1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **whpsociso whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -3807.5618
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = -3807.5618

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	362
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -3807.5618			

```

> —
> 1]                                OIM
> —
> Structural
>   whpsociso <-
>     crhtw1 | 3.356728  1.029778   3.26  0.001  1.338401  5.3750
> 55
>     _cons | 9.862511  .9811023  10.05  0.000  7.939586  11.785
> 44
> —
>   whpel <-
>     whpsociso | .8935936  .0842066  10.61  0.000  .7285516  1.0586
> 36
>     _cons | 22.71258  1.802015  12.60  0.000  19.18069  26.244
> 46
> —
> Variance
>   e.whpsociso | 341.6478  25.39448
> 82
>   e.whpel | 902.7005  67.0972
> 27
> —

```

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **0.13**, Prob > chi2 = **0.7205**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **whpsociso whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3782.8489**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3782.8489**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method	= ml		
Log likelihood	= -3782.8489		

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
> 1]						
> —						
Structural						
whpsociso <-						
crhtw2	5.099362	1.098269	4.64	0.000	2.946793	7.251
> 93						
_cons	9.404572	.9738334	9.66	0.000	7.495893	11.313
> 25						
> —						
whpel <-						
whpsociso	.8954262	.0841217	10.64	0.000	.7305508	1.0603
> 02						
_cons	22.63117	1.797716	12.59	0.000	19.10771	26.154
> 63						
> —						
Variance						
e.whpsociso	331.3221	24.59303			286.4628	383.20
> 62						
e.whpel	901.6297	66.92524			779.5539	1042.8
> 22						
> —						
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 5.68 , Prob > chi2 = 0.0172						

Endogenous variables

Observed: **whpsociso whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3789.8068**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3789.8068**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method	= ml		
Log likelihood	= -3789.8068		

```

> —
> 1]                                OIM
>                               Coef.    Std. Err.      z     P>|z|      [ 95% Conf. Interva
> —
Structural
  whpsociso <-
    crhtw3 | 5.269214  1.069917   4.92  0.000  3.172215  7.3662
> 14
    _cons | 9.374124  .9696781   9.67  0.000  7.47359  11.274
> 66
> —
> 2
  whpel <-
    whpsociso | .8954262  .0841217  10.64  0.000  .7305508  1.0603
> 63
    _cons | 22.63117  1.797716  12.59  0.000  19.10771  26.154
> 63
> —
Variance
  e.whpsociso | 329.0153  24.42181
> 82
  e.whpel | 901.6297  66.92524
> 22
> —
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 8.45, Prob > chi2 = 0.0037
(1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

```

Endogenous variables

Observed: **WHPsleep whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3981.7706**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3981.7706**

Structural equation model
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-3981.7706**

		Number of obs = 362					
		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
>	-						
>]						
>	-						
Structural							
WHPsleep <-							
crhtw1		4.702623	1.70338	2.76	0.006	1.364058	8.04118
>	7						
_cons		25.65657	1.622866	15.81	0.000	22.47581	28.8373
>	2						
>	-						
whpel <-							
WHPsleep		.5787747	.0499997	11.58	0.000	.4807772	.676772
>	2						
_cons		16.71326	2.028064	8.24	0.000	12.73832	20.6881
>	9						
>	-						
Variance							
e.WHPsleep		934.7924	69.48257			808.0642	1081.39
>	5						
e.whpel		863.7871	64.20479			746.685	999.254
>	3						
>	-						
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 0.29 , Prob > chi2 = 0.5921							

Endogenous variables

Observed: **WHPsleep whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3954.6713**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3954.6713**

Structural equation model
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-3954.6713**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
<hr/>							
>	-						
<hr/>							
Structural							
WHPsleep <-	crhtw2	8.924184	1.802145	4.95	0.000	5.392045	12.4563
>	2						
_cons		24.71111	1.597958	15.46	0.000	21.57917	27.8430
>	5						
<hr/>							
>	-						
whpel <-	WHPsleep	.5797236	.049963	11.60	0.000	.481798	.677649
>	2						
_cons		16.62227	2.02405	8.21	0.000	12.6552	20.5893
>	3						
<hr/>							
>	-						
Variance							
e.WHPsleep		892.0966	66.21762			771.3114	1031.79
>	6						
e.whpel		862.9876	64.05694			746.1436	998.128
>	9						
<hr/>							
>	-						
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 4.36 , Prob > chi2 = 0.0369							

Endogenous variables

Observed: **WHPsleep whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3960.4803**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3960.4803**

Structural equation model
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-3960.4803**

		OIM							
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval			
<hr/>									
> -									
Structural									
WHPsleep <-	crhtw3	9.540244	1.750074	5.45	0.000	6.110162	12.9703		
> 3	_cons	24.60296	1.586112	15.51	0.000	21.49424	27.7116		
<hr/>									
> -									
whpel <-	WHPsleep	.5797236	.049963	11.60	0.000	.481798	.677649		
> 2	_cons	16.62227	2.02405	8.21	0.000	12.6552	20.5893		
<hr/>									
> -									
Variance									
e.WHPsleep		880.2956	65.34167			761.1083	1018.14		
> 7	e.whpel	862.9876	64.05694			746.1436	998.128		
> 9									
<hr/>									

> -
 LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **6.24**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0125**
 (1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **HP2pbfhm whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2364.7925**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2364.7925**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **362**
Estimation method = **m1**
Log likelihood = **-2364.7925**

		OIM							
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]			
<hr/>									
<hr/>									
Structural									
	HP2pbfhm <-								
>	crhtwl	.0874445	.0181534	4.82	0.000	.0518644	.123024		
> 6									
	_cons	.1181964	.0172954	6.83	0.000	.0842981	.152094		
> 7									
<hr/>									
whpel <-									
>	HP2pbfhm	39.97114	4.952262	8.07	0.000	30.26489	49.677		
> 4									
	_cons	26.73524	1.784425	14.98	0.000	23.23783	30.2326		
> 5									
<hr/>									
Variance									
	e.HP2pbfhm	.106172	.0078917			.0917785	.122822		
> 9									
	e.whpel	1003.014	74.55344			867.0371	1160.31		
> 6									
<hr/>									
> -									
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 0.00 , Prob > chi2 = 0.9559									

Endogenous variables

Observed: **HP2pbfhm whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2346.5917**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2346.5917**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **m1**
Log likelihood = **-2346.5917**

		OIM							
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]			
<hr/>									
<hr/>									
Structural									
	HP2pbfhm <-								
>	crhtw2	.0695546	.0199251	3.49	0.000	.0305022	.10860		
7									
	_cons	.1175242	.0176675	6.65	0.000	.0828965	.152151		
9									
<hr/>									
whpel <-									
	HP2pbfhm	40.05575	4.949268	8.09	0.000	30.35536	49.7561		
4									
	_cons	26.65063	1.780888	14.96	0.000	23.16016	30.1411		
1									
<hr/>									
Variance									
	e.HP2pbfhm	.1090516	.0080946			.0942866	.126128		
7									
	e.whpel	1002.214	74.39128			866.5193	1159.15		
7									
<hr/>									

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **10.20**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0014**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **HP2pbfhm whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2355.4191**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2355.4191**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **m1**
Log likelihood = **-2355.4191**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
<hr/>							
>	-						
<hr/>							
Structural							
>	3	HP2pbfhm <- crhtw3	.0645477	.0195109	3.31	0.001	.026307 .102788
>	1	_cons	.1183692	.017683	6.69	0.000	.0837112 .153027
<hr/>							
whpel <- HP2pbfhm		40.05575	4.949268	8.09	0.000	30.35536	49.7561
>	4	_cons	26.65063	1.780888	14.96	0.000	23.16016 30.1411
>	1	<hr/>					
Variance							
>	3	e.HP2pbfhm	.1094135	.0081214		.0945995	.126547
>	7	e.whpel	1002.214	74.39128		866.5193	1159.15
<hr/>							

> -
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **15.15**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0001**
(1 observations with missing values excluded;
specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **ecprw3 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-4104.4771**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-4104.4771**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **362**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-4104.4771**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
ecprw3 <-						
crhtw1	3.024763	2.042408	1.48	0.139	-.9782819	7.027809
_cons	59.02009	1.945868	30.33	0.000	55.20626	62.83392
whpel <-						
ecprw3	.0032679	.0491734	0.07	0.947	-.0931102	.099646
_cons	31.73067	3.436206	9.23	0.000	24.99583	38.46551
Variance						
e.ecprw3	1343.93	99.89354			1161.736	1554.698
e.whpel	1183.503	87.96905			1023.057	1369.111

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **3.53**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0604**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **ecprw3 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-4069.6581**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-4069.6581**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-4069.6581**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
ecprw3 <- crhtw2 _cons	13.1978 56.99102	2.112867 1.873475	6.25 30.42	0.000 0.000	9.056658 53.31908	17.33895 60.66297
whpel <- ecprw3 _cons	.0070822 31.41723	.0489868 3.418449	0.14 9.19	0.885 0.000	-.0889302 24.71719	.1030946 38.11727
Variance						
e.ecprw3 e.whpel	1226.244 1182.988	91.0204 87.80964			1060.217 1022.818	1418.271 1368.241

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 19.77, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Endogenous variables

Observed: **ecprw3 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4075.3143

Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4075.3143

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -4075.3143			

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
ecprw3 <- crhtw3 _cons	13.71993 56.89802	2.050955 1.858804	6.69 30.61	0.000 0.000	9.700132 53.25483	17.73973 60.54121
whpel <- ecprw3 _cons	.0070822 31.41723	.0489868 3.418449	0.14 9.19	0.885 0.000	-.0889302 24.71719	.1030946 38.11727

Variance	e.ecprw3	1209.005	89.74078	1045.312	1398.332
	e.whpel	1182.988	87.80964	1022.818	1368.241

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 25.93, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
 (2 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: goferw2 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtwl

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -3823.6842
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = -3823.6842

Structural equation model Number of obs = 361
 Estimation method = ml
 Log likelihood = -3823.6842

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
goferw2 <-						
crhtwl	.8893884	.9698185	0.92	0.359	-1.011421	2.790198
_cons	7.990379	.9250045	8.64	0.000	6.177404	9.803355
whpel <-						
goferw2	.1515195	.1036212	1.46	0.144	-.0515742	.3546132
_cons	30.78477	1.991543	15.46	0.000	26.88142	34.68812
Variance						
e.goferw2	302.9419	22.54866			261.8197	350.5227
e.whpel	1176.993	87.6063			1017.225	1361.855

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 3.35, Prob > chi2 = 0.0673
 (1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: goferw2 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtw2

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3796.8454**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3796.8454**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **362**
Estimation method = **ml**
Log likelihood = **-3796.8454**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
goferw2 <-						
crhtw2	4.163511	1.02724	4.05	0.000	2.150158	6.176864
_cons	7.371538	.9119737	8.08	0.000	5.584102	9.158973
whpel <-						
goferw2	.1537889	.1035619	1.48	0.138	-.0491887	.3567666
_cons	30.68138	1.987654	15.44	0.000	26.78565	34.57711
Variance						
e.goferw2	289.837	21.54342			250.5443	335.292
e.whpel	1176.351	87.43748			1016.875	1360.837

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **16.45**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**
(1 observations with missing values excluded;
specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: goferw2 whpel

Exogenous variables

Observed: crhtw3

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-3803.154**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-3803.154**

Structural equation model
 Number of obs = **362**
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-3803.154**

	OIM					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
goferw2 <-						
crhtw3	4.51366	.9989665	4.52	0.000	2.555721	6.471598
_cons	7.31021	.906509	8.06	0.000	5.533485	9.086935
whpel <-						
goferw2	.1537889	.1035619	1.48	0.138	-.0491887	.3567666
_cons	30.68138	1.987654	15.44	0.000	26.78565	34.57711
Variance						
e.goferw2	286.8147	21.31877			247.9318	331.7957
e.whpel	1176.351	87.43748			1016.875	1360.837

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **21.49**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**
 (1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx3nr1 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2189.7377**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2189.7377**

Structural equation model
 Number of obs = **362**
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-2189.7377**

```

> -
> ]                                     OIM
> |           Coef.     Std. Err.      z     P>|z|      [95% Conf. Interval
> |
> -
Structural
  icdx3nr1 <- crhtw1 |   .0016769   .0103661    0.16   0.871   -.0186402   .021994
> 1
  _cons |   .0356884   .0098761    3.61   0.000   .0163316   .055045
> 2


---


> -
  whpel <- icdx3nr1 |  20.18462   9.659476    2.09   0.037   1.252391   39.1168
> 4
  _cons |   31.2    1.830505   17.04   0.000   27.61228   34.7877
> 2


---


> -
Variance
  e.icdx3nr1 |   .0346195   .0025732          .0299262   .040048
> 8
  e.whpel |  1169.411   86.92166          1010.876   1352.80
> 9

```

> -
 LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **3.50**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0613**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx3nr1 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2164.2298**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2164.2298**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -2164.2298			

```

> -
> ]                                     OIM
> |           Coef.     Std. Err.      z     P>|z|      [95% Conf. Interval
> |
> -
Structural
  icdx3nr1 <-
    crhtw2 |   .0197457   .011164    1.77   0.077   -.0021353   .041626
> 7
    _cons |   .0324195   .0098991    3.28   0.001   .0130177   .051821
> 4


---


> -
  whpel <-
    icdx3nr1 |  20.27376   9.656719    2.10   0.036   1.346938   39.2005
> 8
    _cons |  31.11086   1.82746    17.02   0.000   27.5291   34.6926
> 1


---


> -
Variance
  e.icdx3nr1 |   .0342351   .0025412                   .0295998   .039596
> 2
  e.whpel |  1168.864   86.76121                   1010.606   1351.90
> 5


---


> -
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 16.89, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

```

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx3nr1 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-2172.6235**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-2172.6235**

Structural equation model	Number of obs	=	363
Estimation method = ml			
Log likelihood = -2172.6235			

OIM						
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval	
>]						
> -						
Structural						
icdx3nr1 <-						
crhtw3	.0182388	.0109189	1.67	0.095	-.0031618	.039639
> 5						
_cons	.0326741	.0098959	3.30	0.001	.0132785	.052069
> 8						
> -						
whpel <-						
icdx3nr1	20.27376	9.656719	2.10	0.036	1.346938	39.2005
> 8						
_cons	31.11086	1.82746	17.02	0.000	27.5291	34.6926
> 1						
> -						
Variance						
e.icdx3nr1	.0342667	.0025435			.0296272	.039632
> 8						
e.whpel	1168.864	86.76121			1010.606	1351.90
> 5						
> -						
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 22.11, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000						
(1 observations with missing values excluded;						
specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)						

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx4nr17 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1927.3578**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1927.3578**

Structural equation model
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-1927.3578**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
>	1]						
>	—						
	Structural						
>	24	icdx4nr17 <- crhtw1	.0035315	.0050473	0.70	0.484	-.0063611 .0134
>	22	_cons	.0078173	.0048087	1.63	0.104	-.0016077 .01724
>	—						
>	06	whpel <- icdx4nr17	56.27484	19.72446	2.85	0.004	17.61561 94.934
>	82	_cons	31.4585	1.795607	17.52	0.000	27.93917 34.977
>	—						
	Variance						
>	47	e.icdx4nr17	.0082075	.0006101			.0070948 .00949
>	18	e.whpel	1157.49	86.03554			1000.571 1339.0
>	—						
	LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 3.22, Prob > chi2 = 0.0728						

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx4nr17 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1901.7973**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1901.7973**

Structural equation model
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-1901.7973**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
>	—						
>	1]						
>	—						
	Structural						
>	icdx4nr17 <-						
>	crhtw2	.0081423	.0054457	1.50	0.135	-.0025312	.01881
>	57						
>	_cons	.0068653	.0048287	1.42	0.155	-.0025988	.01632
>	94						
>	—						
	whpel <-						
>	icdx4nr17	56.36222	19.72022	2.86	0.004	17.71129	95.013
>	15						
>	_cons	31.37111	1.792748	17.50	0.000	27.85739	34.884
>	83						
>	—						
	Variance						
>	e.icdx4nr17	.008146	.0006047			.0070431	.00942
>	16						
>	e.whpel	1157.02	85.88207			1000.366	1338.2
>	06						
>	—						

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **16.78**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx4nr17 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1909.6589**
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1909.6589**

Structural equation model
 Estimation method = **ml**
 Log likelihood = **-1909.6589**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
>	—						
>	1]						
>	—						
	Structural						
	icdx4nr17 <-						
>	crhtw3	.0091646	.0053184	1.72	0.085	-.0012592	.01958
>	84						
	_cons	.0066874	.0048201	1.39	0.165	-.0027598	.01613
>	46						
>	—						
	whpel <-						
>	icdx4nr17	56.36222	19.72022	2.86	0.004	17.71129	95.013
>	15						
	_cons	31.37111	1.792748	17.50	0.000	27.85739	34.884
>	83						
>	—						
	Variance						
	e.icdx4nr17	.0081297	.0006034			.0070289	.00940
>	27						
	e.whpel	1157.02	85.88207			1000.366	1338.2
>	06						
>	—						

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **21.63**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**
 (1 observations with missing values excluded;
 specify option 'method(mlmv)' to use all observations)

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx5nr17 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw1**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1731.109**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1731.109**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **362**
Estimation method = **m1**
Log likelihood = **-1731.109**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
>	—						
>	1]						
>	—						
	Structural						
>	icdx5nr17 <-						
>	crhtw1	.0034592	.0029185	1.19	0.236	-.0022609	.00917
>	94						
>	_cons	.002302	.0027805	0.83	0.408	-.0031477	.00775
>	18						
>	—						
>	whpel <-						
>	icdx5nr17	68.26371	34.26256	1.99	0.046	1.110337	135.41
>	71						
>	_cons	31.73629	1.8008	17.62	0.000	28.20679	35.265
>	79						
>	—						
	Variance						
>	e.icdx5nr17	.0027442	.000204			.0023721	.00317
>	45						
>	e.whpel	1170.68	87.01595			1011.973	1354.2
>	77						
>	—						

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **3.12**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0773**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx5nr17 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw2**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1705.8829**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1705.8829**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **m1**
Log likelihood = **-1705.8829**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
>	1]						
>	—						
	Structural						
>	icdx5nr17 <- crhtw2	.0037258	.0031565	1.18	0.238	-.0024608	.00991
>	23						
>	_cons	.0021146	.0027988	0.76	0.450	-.003371	.00760
>	02						
>	—						
>	whpel <- icdx5nr17	68.35138	34.25572	2.00	0.046	1.211401	135.49
>	14						
>	_cons	31.64862	1.797959	17.60	0.000	28.12468	35.172
>	55						
>	—						
	Variance						
>	e.icdx5nr17	.0027367	.0002031			.0023662	.00316
>	53						
>	e.whpel	1170.222	86.86201			1011.78	1353.4
>	75						
>	—						

LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = **17.39**, Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

Endogenous variables

Observed: **icdx5nr17 whpel**

Exogenous variables

Observed: **crhtw3**

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = **-1714.1851**
Iteration 1: log likelihood = **-1714.1851**

Structural equation model Number of obs = **363**
Estimation method = **m1**
Log likelihood = **-1714.1851**

		OIM					
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interva	
>	—						
>	1]						
>	—						
	Structural						
>	icdx5nr17 <-						
>	crhtw3	.0034374	.0030864	1.11	0.265	-.0026118	.00948
>	66						
>	_cons	.0021633	.0027972	0.77	0.439	-.0033191	.00764
>	58						
>	—						
>	wphel <-						
>	icdx5nr17	68.35138	34.25572	2.00	0.046	1.211401	135.49
>	14						
>	_cons	31.64862	1.797959	17.60	0.000	28.12468	35.172
>	55						
>	—						
	Variance						
>	e.icdx5nr17	.0027379	.0002032			.0023672	.00316
>	66						
>	e.wphel	1170.222	86.86201			1011.78	1353.4
>	75						
>	—						
	LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(1) = 22.65 , Prob > chi2 = 0.0000						

```
132 .
133 .
134 . // No significant main effects for perceive risk to energy level
135 . *-- Possible male energy level indirect effects:
136 . // wave 1 HP2pbfhm whpsleep whpsociso mhlthw1 BSIanx ///
137 . // BSIips BSIposymp BSItotal age marrw13 marrw16
138 . // wave 2 HP2pbfhm whpsleep whpsociso whppain BSIips PTSDw2 BSIanx ///
139 . // BSIips BSIposymp BSItotal age marrw21 marrw25 marrw26
140 . // wave 3 HP2pbfhm whpsleep whosociso whppain PTSDw2 phlthw3 BSIips BSIanx
> ///
141 . // BSIips BSIposymp marrw23 marrw26 BSItotal age
142 .
143 .
144 .
145 . sjlog close, replace
```