



— College of ——— Engineering

Introduction

- Reasonable estimates of debris help communities better determine their debris management needs following a disaster; however, post-disaster waste data to validate debris estimates is often unavailable.
- This can be addressed by using imaging technology to quantify disaster debris promptly following a hazard.

Research Objectives

- (1) Demonstrate and compare multiple imaging tools available for quantifying disaster debris using postdisaster data collected following Hurricane Ida in 2021.
- (2) Establish a framework for selecting an imaging method based on the desired application and available resources.

Methodology

- Southeastern Louisiana (Fig. 1) chosen as a testbed due to tremendous amount of damage following Hurricane Ida and resulting debris generated.
- Image classification performed on pre- and post-Ida Sentinel-2 satellite imagery to assess large-scale vegetative debris transportation and quantities.
- NOAA high-altitude imagery analyzed to determine locations of vegetative debris on critical infrastructure.
- UAV & TLS surveys performed post-Ida at a TDMS in Grand Isle to calculate disaster debris volumes.
- Imaging methods were compared based on performance metrics and suitable applications were determined.



References

[1] Copernicus. (2023). Copernicus Open Access Hub.

[2] NOAA. (2023). NOAA's Emergency Response Imagery. [3] Bekkaye, J. H., and Jafari, N. H. (*under review*). "Application, Integration, and Comparison of Imaging Techniques for Data-Driven Disaster Debris Quantification." Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering.

APPLICATION AND COMPARISON OF MAGING TECHNIQUES FOR DATA-DRIVEN DISASTER DEBRIS QUANTIFICATION

Jasmine H. Bekkaye¹, Navid H. Jafari¹ ¹Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, **J.edu**

Satellite & High-Altitude Imagery







Figure 5. UAV Imagery: (a) orthomosaic; (b) segmented point cloud; (c) 3D meshes, labelled, and colored by relative elevation.



Figure 6. TLS Point Cloud: (a) composite; (b) segmented point cloud; (c) 3D meshes, labelled and colored by relative elevation.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NSF through a Graduate Research Fellowship to Jasmine H. Bekkaye, through the Nearshore Events Reconnaissance Association, and using equipment provided by the NHERI RAPID Facility.



- UAVs and TLS highly effective for quantifying debris and can provide precise volumes.
- Debris volumes from UAV and TLS surveys within 8% of each other (on average).

LA, jbekka1@lsı
Comparis — Satellite Imagery — High-Altitude Imagery
— UAV — TLS Time - Proc Raw D
Time - Fieldwork ——
Cos
Figure 8. Summary of c
 Publicly available saturations
 High-altitude image interests of acquirin
 UAVs & TLS highly high-altitude imager
 UAVs may be a be and computational of
Recovery
 <u>Satellite</u>: Identify priority areas restoration efforts. <u>High-altitude</u>: Identify areas the require debris removal and maresources. <u>UAV</u>: Quantify and characterize evaluate infrastructure conditions in the rest of th
Figure 9. Suitat
Summ
 Imaging tools can regard to disaster de

Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering

LSL

son of Imaging Methods



criteria for each imaging method for debris quantification.

satellite imagery is often limited by spatial and

jery is limited spatially and temporally to ng agency.

effective but more expensive than satellite & ry and require more operational effort.

etter option than TLS due to their lower cost demand.



nary and Conclusions

be employed for diverse applications with ebris quantification.

• A framework was developed to evaluate imaging technologies and their efficacy in debris management. This will assist debris quantification efforts and decision making for waste managers.