

# Case Study on Urban and Rural Texas: Comparative Strategies for Disaster Preparedness and Land Retention in Heirs' Property Communities

Nishat Tasnim Maria; Tasnim Isaba, PhD; Michelle Meyer, PhD; John Thomas Cooper, Jr., PhD

## Problem and Context:

Heirs' property—land passed informally without clear title—affects tens of thousands of Texas families who face systemic barriers accessing disaster recovery aid. Without clear title, owners are routinely excluded from federal aid, insurance claims, and nonprofit rebuilding programs, creating a critical equity gap in disaster policy. Following Hurricanes Ike (2008), Harvey (2017), and Imelda (2019), urban Houston communities face bureaucratic hurdles and displacement pressures, while rural Southeast Texas struggles with limited legal services and fragmented records. In both contexts, insecure ownership weakens resilience and intergenerational stability.

## Research Question:

Which model proves more effective for land retention and disaster resilience: governmental integration, or grassroots resilience?

## Study Objectives:

1) Characterize disaster preparedness and recovery strategies for heirs' property in urban Houston and rural Southeast Texas, differentiated by governance structures, resource availability, service mechanisms, and target populations.

2) Identify the institutional, policy, and community factors shaping the design, implementation, and accessibility of heirs' property interventions in each setting.

3) Evaluate which strategies have proven most effective in protecting heirs' property ownership and strengthening disaster resilience, and extract lessons that can inform scalable and equitable interventions across diverse geographic and demographic contexts.

## Comparative Case Studies:

We are using qualitative methods to compare Houston and Southeast Texas.

Characteristic	Harris County	Orange County
<b>Population (2024)</b>	5,009,302	86,115
<b>Geographic Context</b>	Urban metropolitan area	Rural coastal region
<b>Racial/Ethnic Composition</b>	43.4% Hispanic/Latino 27.4% White (Non-Hispanic) 18.7% Black	77.9% White (Non-Hispanic) 9.1% Hispanic 8.4% Black
<b>Median Household Income (2023)</b>	\$73,104	\$73,372
<b>Poverty Rate (2023)</b>	16.0%	12.7%
<b>Homeownership Rate (2023)</b>	54.8%	75.0%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2023 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates and 2024 Population Estimates Program

## Methods:

### Document review

Policy documents, program materials, archival records

### Interviews

20-30 heirs' property owners, officials, nonprofit leaders, legal aid providers, community organizers

### Site visits

Site visits to affected neighborhoods, heirs' property parcels, and community meetings



## Study Findings

### Urban Case: Houston (Harris County)

- Clear Title Requirements Create Structural Barriers to Disaster Recovery.
- Recovery Outcomes Are Uneven, with Cumulative Disaster Burden Compounding Vulnerability.
- Trust acts as the Decisive Factor in Community Engagement.

*"And so now you have disaster upon disaster and compounds, the problems and you've never been able to sort of fix or fortify your house."* - Urban Nonprofit Practitioner

### Rural Case: Southeast Texas (Orange County)

- The Documentation Gap in Rural Texas Reflects a Governance Gap.
- Recovery Outcomes Are Uneven, with Cumulative Disaster Burden Compounding Vulnerability.
- Meaningful Innovations Exist but Remain Geographically Concentrated.
- Rural Communities Face Disparities in Governance, Resources, and Legal Access.



Photo Credit: City of Houston

*"The lack of attorneys in rural areas is really part of the problem we're trying to bridge. And sometimes what can also happen is that we are also sort of trying to bridge cultural understandings of how the world works."* - Policy Advocacy Organization

## Applications

### Lessons for Disaster Policy and Program Design:

- Procedural flexibility is more effective than documentation waivers.
- Policy reforms require implementation infrastructure to reach intended beneficiaries.
- Cumulative disaster exposure requires cumulative policy responses.

### Lessons for Community Engagement and Service Delivery:

- Trust is infrastructure.
- Proactive intervention outperforms reactive response.
- The one-stop-shop model addresses fragmentation effectively.

### Lessons for Rural Communities and Transferability:

- The documentation gap is a governance gap requiring active compensation.
- Legal capacity is a public infrastructure question.
- Urban innovations require active rural adaptation.

## Contact Information:

Nishat Tasnim Maria  
Graduate Research Assistant  
Hazard Reduction & Recovery Center (HARRC)  
Department of Landscape Architecture & Urban Planning,  
Texas A&M University  
Email: [maria.n.t@tamu.edu](mailto:maria.n.t@tamu.edu)

**Funding acknowledgement:** This research is supported by the Lincoln Institute of Land Policy