THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON, DC

Disaster Perceived Threat, Efficacy, and Distress Among Individuals with Disabilities Over Time

Ashley E. Reed, Cynthia A. Rohrbeck, Philip W. Wirtz, & Jennifer E. Marceron

Background

- Previous studies have found a positive association between perceived threat of disasters and psychological distress (Li et al., 2021; Suzuki et al., 2015).
- Individuals with disabilities are disproportionately impacted by the negative effects of disaster events (Castro et al., 2017; Chou et al., 2014; Quail et al., 2018), and may therefore be more likely to develop disaster-related psychological distress.
- Other psychological factors, like self-efficacy and response efficacy, may help to attenuate disaster-related distress within this population (Ambelu et al., 2022; Duygulu et al., 2022; Ejeta et al., 2015).
- Recent research has adapted Protection Motivation Theory (PMT) to model the additive effects of perceived threat, self-efficacy, and response efficacy on emotional responses (Kim et al., 2022, Zhang et al., 2022).
- The current study aims to use an extension of PMT to longitudinally test the relationships between perceived threat, emergency preparedness self-efficacy (EPSE), response efficacy, and psychological distress within a sample of individuals with disabilities (see Figure 1 for hypothesized model).

Methods

PARTICIPANTS

- 106 adults with physical disabilities; 74% female, 26% male
- 89% White, 11% Racial Minorities
- Median education = Bachelor's degree

PROCEDURE

 216 participants from the original study five years ago were recontacted. Recruited participants (June-September 2020) completed several webbased measures related to perceived threat, EPSE, response efficacy, psychological distress, and other relevant constructs.

Methods Results Figure 2: The Final Model Perceived Threat (Marceron & Rohrbeck, 2018) -.20* Threat₁ 4-item measure to assess perceived severity and likelihood of .24** disaster, Cronbach's alpha = .82 • Example: "In your view, what is the likelihood of a natural or Self Efficacy 1 **Psychological Distress** human-made disaster in your city or town in the next six months?" Emergency Preparedness Self Efficacy (EPSE) (Burns et al., 2014) 7-item measure, Cronbach's alpha = .81 Response Efficacy **Example:** "I can protect myself and my property in an emergency" Threat, Self Efficacy,

- 3-item measure, Cronbach's alpha = .80
- · Example: "following emergency preparedness recommendations will be effective in reducing the impact of disasters"
- Kessler 6 (K6) (Kessler et al., 2002)

Response Efficacy

MEASURES

- 6-item measure to assess general psychological distress, Cronbach's alpha = .82
- Example: "During the past 30 days, about how often did you feel nervous?"

Results

Figure 1: The Hypothesized Model



Discussion

Response Efficacy,

- The associations of proximal perceived threat and EPSE with distress remain stable across waves.
- The association between proximal response efficacy and distress at Wave 1 dissipated by Wave 2, suggesting that the effect of response efficacy on distress may be more variable and contextspecific.
- Individuals who reported an increase in EPSE over waves also reported a decrease in psychological distress (not shown in Fig 2).

LIMITATIONS

- Data collection during COVID may have impacted risk perception. EPSE, response efficacy, and distress at Wave 2.
- · The results may not be generalizable to the broader population of individuals with disabilities because the sample was highly educated and primarily female and white.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

 Future studies should test interventions to increase EPSE among individuals with disabilities as a strategy to reduce disaster-related psychological distress.