Appendix

Interview Questions

Participant: Unreinforced masonry building owners/renters/other stakeholders

Unreinforced Masonry Policy Committee process semi-structured interview questions

- 1. Tell me about you and this place (associated URM building).
 - a. Why are you connected to this particular site?
 - b. Why here and nowhere else?
- 2. Do you think your story about your connection to this place was heard in the URM process?
 - a. Why or why not?
- 3. Do you recall other stories about places that may have been heard or overlooked?
 - a. Whose?
 - b. How so?
- 4. How can mitigation policy development processes better listen?
 - a. What would have to happen to make you feel heard?
- 5. Do you think there is a place in these processes to grapple with connection to place?
 - a. How so/why not?
 - b. How important do you think it is to consider place-based relationships in mitigation policy development?
- 6. To what extent should mitigation policy development processes be responsible for acknowledging and "saving" important places?
- 7. How do decision-makers know which places are important?
- 8. What are the connections between this place and race?
 - a. Are there racialized histories that make this place significant to your personal life or more broadly to Portland's history?
 - b. Do you think that your relationship and experiences with this place are related to race? How so /Why not?
- 9. How do you think considerations of race-place relationships were made in the process?
 - a. How so/why not?
- 10. What would it have looked like to have done this process in a way that acknowledged and honored racial and place-based relationships?

Process-specific

- 11. What was your experience with the URM Policy Committee? What happened? [Look at the timeline and add details of what happened and when.]
 - a. How did you engage in the formal process or otherwise?
- 12. What would you have liked the policy committee to have done differently?
- 13. What do you think the policy committee got right?
- 14. What are your aspirations for the future of participatory processes?
- 15. What are your aspirations for the future of URM building in Portland?
 - a. What is your ideal future for your particular building?
 - b. How could future URM policy changes impact that future?

Participant: Committee members/Organization leaders/decision-makers

Unreinforced Masonry Policy Committee process semi-structured interview questions

- 1. Why are URM buildings significant to you?
 - a. Do you have a connection to a particular URM building? If so, which one and why?
- 2. Why do you think URM buildings are significant to Portlanders?
- 3. Do you think stories about connections to place were heard in the URM process?
 - a. Why or why not?
- 4. Do you recall whether some stories about places were heard while others may have been overlooked or less emphasized?
 - a. If yes, which ones?
 - i. Why do you think there were these differences?
- 5. How can mitigation policy development processes better listen to emotional claims to place?
 - a. What would have to happen to make URM stakeholders feel heard?
- 6. Do you think there is a place in these processes to grapple with connection to place? How so/why not?
 - 7. To what extent should mitigation policy development processes be responsible for acknowledging and "saving" important places?
 - 8. How do decision-makers know which places are important?
 - 9. What is the connection between this place and race?
 - a. Do you think that relationships and experiences with URM buildings are related to race?
 - i. How so/why not?
 - 10. How do you think considerations of race-place relationships were made in the URM process?
 - a. How so/why not?
 - 11. What would it have looked like to have done this process in a way that acknowledged and honored racial and place-based relationships?

Process-specific

- 16. What was your experience with the URM Policy Committee? What happened? [Look at the timeline and add details of what happened and when.]
 - a. How did you engage in the formal process or otherwise?
- 17. What would you have liked the policy committee to have done differently?
- 18. What do you think the policy committee got right?
- 19. What are your aspirations for the future of participatory processes?
- 20. What are your aspirations for the future of URM buildings in Portland?
 - a. [if applicable] What is your ideal future for your particular building?
 - b. How could future URM policy changes impact that future?